

AMERICANISM  
VS  
ROMANISM

Dag Jørgen Høgetveit  
December 2023



## AMERICANISM VS ROMANISM

"Thy will be done in earth..." (Matthew 6,10)

"... it shall come to pass, *that* at evening time it shall be light." (Zechariah 14,7)

"If truth goes, then freedom goes. We can know the truth because God has revealed the truth to us... If America is to return to greatness, America has to return to truth." (Dr. Vishal Mangalwadi; (ref.: see *Recommended* below) 00:02)

"-... nation is a peculiarly Jewish and Protestant idea<sup>[1]</sup> – it's not a Catholic idea, it's not an Orthodox idea, it's not a Muslim idea, it's not a secular idea. But unfortunately, when secular and Catholic intellectuals in Europe are ganged up against the Protestant concept of nation, the American Evangelical movement surrendered to that onslaught of secular, Catholic attack on the concept of nation, and *redefined* nation as people group rather than as geopolitical entities. And Christianity has lost America, I will be proposing, because Christianity ceased to be interested in the nation – it was interested in taking souls to Heaven, it was interested in reaching people groups, it was *not* interested in America – therefore America has every right to ignore and marginalize Christianity." (Mangalwadi; 08:14)

"-... Protestantism and Evangelicalism are two different entities. Though Evangelicals *like* to think of themselves as the theological heirs of Protestantism, but in *fact* - the problem in this regard, and many others that we will be considering, is simply this, that *Protestantism* built a great nation, Evangelicalism has destroyed that nation. That's my central thesis – very harsh statement, very shocking statement – but that's the statement I want Evangelical theologians to debate and discuss." (Mangalwadi; 15:49)

"-... it's why the trans issue, by the way, which is such a minority of a minority issue, has become so huge, because it's demanding two things simultaneously. It's saying something that we're not sure about is absolutely fixed; and one of the very few things we are sure about, sex differences for instance, it's totally a choice – you could be a woman this hour if you'd like – and that sort of thing is deranging ... because it's asking us to take part in something we know to be a lie – and it is among other things, enormously demoralizing to people to be made to lie." (Douglas Murray; (ref.: see *Recommended* below) 24:20)

"The issue today in a civilizational crisis, is ultimate reality." (Dr. Os Guinness; interview)

### Dag Jørgen Høgetveit; December 2023

(This document contains several translations from Norwegian sources, and also some Norwegian (only) references.)

1. Warroom's International Editor, Ben Harnwell, relates<sup>2</sup> about Mr. J.M. Bergoglio S.J., that "-There's one thing that you can absolutely say ... about ... "Pope" Francis, it's that he hates America ... he has an absolute paranoia and loathing towards what has made the United States successful, and ... his whole "papacy" is an attempt to deconstruct in the rest of the world the values that has made the United States so prosperous."

---

<sup>1</sup> Cf, Acts 17,26-7; God "hath made of one blood all nations of men for to dwell on all the face of the earth, and hath determined the times before appointed, and the bounds of their habitation; that they should ..."

<sup>2</sup> <https://warroom.org/episode-3135-elite-focusing-attention-on-the-new-forever-war/> 23:05.

2. This Vatican deconstruction-effort is not of new date – "It is significant that it took the Catholic Church, on the spot, only ten days after the battle of Gettysburg (... 1863) to attempt to sabotage the North in order to help the Confederates with whom the Vatican was openly sympathising." "Not on the battlefield, to be sure, but in ways just as effective, even if more subtle. Moreover, it was the kind of intervention which could be repeated today or tomorrow in America." (Avro Manhattan<sup>3</sup>)

3. The pen of Pius IX wrote<sup>4</sup> to the "Illustrious and Honorable President", "Jefferson Davis, President of the Confederate States of America, Richmond" – "in effect both *recognition and intervention*, and ... designed to prevent enlistment of Roman catholic Irishmen in the Union armies, as well as to get them to *desert*. The Vatican in fact managed to get them to do both," Manhattan writes.

"It was clearly in the interest of the Catholic Church, then, to intervene in the American Civil War. A territorial split into two separate, sovereign nations would have likewise halved the Protestant establishment. Although the Vatican no longer had at its disposal the Catholic Spanish empire, or Catholic France to act on her behalf, she still retained one major weapon, namely her diplomatic influence in Europe.

Examined within its historical context, the Church's grand strategy will thus be seen to be quite logical. When the Spanish empire had collapsed, the ecclesiastical monopoly of the Catholic Church in those lands formerly under the rule of Spain, collapsed with it. The Church's monolithic influence, which had stretched from the tip of South America to the northernmost point of California, was fragmented. Catholic hegemony was likewise fractionized and enfeebled, both denominationally and politically.

The same formula would have applied to Protestant America, if the South were victorious in the Civil War." Etc.

4. Manhattan (pp.350-1): "Finally, in 1867, at the insistence of the *New York Times*, a law was passed forbidding any government funding for a Vatican ambassador." "In a master coup against Protestant America, the 1867 law was quietly revoked by the Congress in November, 1983. It was later admitted that it had been done in haste and without benefit of public hearings."

5. November 18, Warroom-host Stephen K. Bannon interviews Bishop Joseph Strickland; Strickland – reportedly US' most conservative Roman Bishop – recently by the Vatican removed from his see.

6. Much of around forty minutes with Bishop Strickland is on the validity of the traditional teachings of the Church – forty minutes with no mention of The Authority as such, the written revelation from the Creator.

Speaker Mike Johnson has the Bible – Bishop Joseph Strickland has the Roman Church.

7. Back to Avro Manhattan (p.59 – and we write 1986): "... the pursuance of religious objectives of its own. Most of these were against religious rivals. Chief amongst these, its

---

<sup>3</sup> Manhattan, Avro; *The Vatican Moscow Washington Alliance*; 2. Am. Ed; see pp.337-42.

<sup>4</sup> [https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/Letter\\_to\\_Jefferson\\_Davis\\_by\\_Pope\\_Pius\\_IX](https://en.wikisource.org/wiki/Letter_to_Jefferson_Davis_by_Pope_Pius_IX)

millenarian opponent the Orthodox Church [see Manhattan's The Vatican's Holocaust<sup>5</sup>; cf. NATO-war Yugoslavia 1999 & NATO-provoked<sup>6</sup> Ukraine-war – and see Tucker Carlson's (Tucker on X) Episode 34<sup>7</sup>: "Backing Ukraine to put priests in prison is the most revealing crime of Biden." Manhattan: ... the Orthodox Church], which broke with Rome in 1024, followed by the Protestant and Evangelical communions."

8. Tucker Carlson: Iraq, Syria; "-When you take a step back and examine the global landscape, it's hard not to see a pattern of hostility towards Christianity. Just look at what's happening in Ukraine – the government there has outright banned an entire Christian denomination, and it's astonishing how little attention this has received in the United States."

9. And apropos Yugoslavia 1999: "At the end of the war, [Catholic NATO Europe-commander, Gen. Wesley K.] Clark was so anxious to stop the Russians from stealing a march to Pristina airport, that he ordered an airborne assault to take the field before them. But Gen. Mike Jackson, the British commander on the ground in Kosovo, wouldn't carry out Clark's orders... "I'm not going to start World War III for you," Jackson later told Clark." (Newsweek Aug. 09, 1999) Manhattan again – Foreword & Preface:

10. "... the U.S. will have become the willing vassal of a conquering church at home and the mighty lay instrument of a religious political power abroad."

"... the Vatican will side with one or the other, or indeed with anybody else, as long as it can further its own influence.

The pattern has been one of historical consistency. Early in this century, for instance, it sided with the Empires of Monarchial Europe; after World War I, it sustained the Fascist Dictatorships; following World War II, it fanned the Cold War by supporting the U.S. against Soviet Russia; after the Vietnam War, it sided with Soviet Russia against the U.S. In the eighties it has struck another working partnership with the U.S."

11. "To meet the challenge of the forthcoming communist take-over of pre- and post-World War III, she has already formulated a long term strategy of her own: the creation of a peculiar new brand of Catholicised communism."

"The potential outbreak of World War III, far from hampering, would help to further its expansionistic dreams. Wars in our times have invariably begotten communism [with what follows for Christianity]. World War I produced Communist Russia. World War II produced Communist China. Regional wars since then have produced communist regimes in Africa and in Asia. World War III will produce a communist world. When that happens the Catholic Church will have produced a communist Christianity of her own. This she will use as the most suitable ideology with which to force herself, not only upon Catholic countries, but also upon those which are not.

What will be the role of the Catholic Church in a world where the hammer and the sickle has replaced the cross, where Lenin has supplanted Christ, where Bolshevism has taken the place

<sup>5</sup> [https://ia903403.us.archive.org/28/items/the-vaticans-holocaust.-by-avro-manhattan-pdf\\_202102/The Vatican's Holocaust. by Avro Manhattan pdf.pdf](https://ia903403.us.archive.org/28/items/the-vaticans-holocaust.-by-avro-manhattan-pdf_202102/The%20Vatican%27s%20Holocaust.%20by%20Avro%20Manhattan.pdf.pdf) ; Copy the whole line to the address bar – enter.

<sup>6</sup> [http://kommentar-avisa.no/Gjestekommentarer/Gjestekommentarer-2022/Aa\\_surre\\_seg\\_langsomt\\_inn\\_i\\_ragnarokk-22.04.2022.pdf](http://kommentar-avisa.no/Gjestekommentarer/Gjestekommentarer-2022/Aa_surre_seg_langsomt_inn_i_ragnarokk-22.04.2022.pdf); p.20.

<sup>7</sup> <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nkQn2gRBaNQ>

of Christianity, and where God has been substituted by contemporary Man? The role she will play will be simplicity itself; the Leninisation of Christ, and the Catholicisation of Lenin."

12. Christendom? Never was. Vaticanism – Semper Idem – is branch of Romanism; always out to exterminate Christendom & Freedom – with importation of Mohammedans, and other – ; Romanism – all to be crushed by Christ; "... come, Lord Jesus."

13. Manhattan writes 37 years ago – be flexible in your thinking; the enemy is. And don't construct for the sake of your own well-being, a manageable, simplified reality, which is only another attempted escape from reality.

14. Manhattan's conclusion from Ireland (1988<sup>8</sup>) can be extended: "For years, all parties concerned have suffered greatly; all parties, that is, except the Catholic Church. Likewise, for years, all parties concerned have searched for solutions to these bitter problems; all parties, that is, except for the Catholic Church.

While god men and women suffer and die on both sides, the Catholic Church continues to accomplish its ruthless goals, hiding behind the façade of a caring and compassionate religious institution."

15. Back to Ukraine – or not really. From a Norwegian, from my perspective, it's interesting to read USMC-Capt. Alexandra B. Anderson's (Marine Corps Gazette; May 2017; p.37) phrase "... the landscapes of Norway – the battlefield for potential future conflict with Russia,"<sup>9</sup> and speculate on what of what is going on in Ukraine, was/is planned for Norway. But why? According to an article some years ago<sup>10</sup>: push the theatre of operations away from great NATO-countries.

Another potential reason – another incitement for (rigging a nation for starvation<sup>11</sup>, freezing<sup>12</sup>, military defeat<sup>13,14</sup> and) war destruction?

16. Where does real freedom – God-given-right-based rule by consent of the people – originate? The United States of America – established some centuries ago? With M. Luther & J. Calvin? Well; but the Reformation is 're-form' from something somewhere.

---

<sup>8</sup> Manhattan, A.; Catholic Terror in Ireland; Conclusion.

<sup>9</sup> Anderson; <https://www.mca-marines.org/?s=May+2017> ; p.37. 'The battlefield' – part of this seemingly problem is that something similar is reported from back in the eighties – see next note.

<sup>10</sup> Jon Hellesnes, 2017; can't find it on the www – see same paragraph: <https://radikalportal.no/2018/04/18/den-farlege-norske-serviliteten-overfor-usa/>; "I første del av 1980-åra ... Nærmere bestemt hos oss!"

<sup>11</sup> [http://kommentar-avisa.no/Gjestekommentarer/Gjestekommentarer-2022/Det\\_kalles\\_trenering-29.11.2022.html](http://kommentar-avisa.no/Gjestekommentarer/Gjestekommentarer-2022/Det_kalles_trenering-29.11.2022.html)

<sup>12</sup> Key word: electricity.

<sup>13</sup> [http://kommentar-avisa.no/Gjestekommentarer/Gjestekommentarer-2019/Forsvaret\\_-\\_fortsatt\\_business\\_as\\_usual-23.09.2019.pdf](http://kommentar-avisa.no/Gjestekommentarer/Gjestekommentarer-2019/Forsvaret_-_fortsatt_business_as_usual-23.09.2019.pdf)

<sup>14</sup> "Although it is now common knowledge that our armed forces have major shortcomings in terms of volume, endurance and power, it does not seem that either the government, the opposition nor the Norwegian people are particularly concerned. ... There is something unreal about the situation, and it almost feels like a scene from the Titanic, where the orchestra plays on First Class while the ship is on a steady course for the iceberg." (LtGen. (Ret.) Rune Jakobsen; Head of the Armed Forces Operational Headquarters (FOH) 2015 to 2021; <https://www.atlanterhavskomiteen.no/ukens-analyse/nordmenns-manglende-kriseforstaelse>)

17. Dr. Os Guinness (The Magna Carta of Humanity – Sinai's Revolutionary Faith and the Future of Freedom; p.7): "Appreciation for history is scarce today, public debate is only rarely lit by foundational principles, and there is a further reason why the needed discussion fails to get off the ground – especially in the speech code, cancel culture of many American and European universities. Debate is often ended by prejudice and a fashionable consensus that chokes it off from the start. Modern freedom, toleration, and human rights, it is said, are all a result of the loosening of the restrictions and superstitions of religion, and therefore only any progressive narrative must remain adamantly secular. There is therefore a stifling consensus in many intellectual circles that trumpets its own canonical orthodoxy: freedom, toleration, and human rights owe everything to the blessings of the Continental Enlightenment in the eighteenth century and to the deep revulsion against the wars of religion in the seventeenth."

18. Guinness (pp.18.31): "Just as the Great Awakening of the 1730s led to the American Revolution of 1776, so the radicals hope that their "great awakening" today will lead to the second American Revolution and fulfill the unrealized dreams of 1789."  
 "... 1789 has betrayed its promise again and again – in all three major forms. Shaped and bound by its assumptions, it cannot hope to do otherwise. It is flawed, it spells disaster for humanity, and it needs to be reexamined comprehensively and firmly rejected. Paris and 1789 in whatever form ends with revolutionaries who are wreckers and tyrants. The need today is for restorers and builders."

19. (Os Guinness is here: Future of Freedom: Renewal, Revolution, or Decline;  
<https://youtu.be/eMMRIIdDPoKs> )

20. Dr. Thomas Sowell: "Freedom, wherever it exists in the world today, owes much to developments in Britain. These includes not only the historic evolution of a free society in the United Kingdom itself, providing political models and legal precedents for other free societies around the world..." (Conquests and Cultures – An International History; p.87)

21. History-professor Torggrim Titlestad: "The Danes could not long withstand a massive land attack by Charlemagne's army. If not before, then the Danes understood this, after the wars between the Franks and the Saxons from the 770s onwards. It was mostly war on land, in which the Franks were superior." "Charles shattered the old Saxon form of society in which the political thingsystem<sup>15</sup> played a central role"; "Charlemagne understood that this kind of decentralized Saxon thingsystem posed a threat to the government that *he* would have – and in the 780s banned the Saxon form of government." (Norge i vikingtid / Norway in Viking Age; pp.31-4)

"Around 791, Charles had gathered enough resources to embark on a campaign against Denmark – the prosperity of the land made it an attractive target of plunder. His alliance with King Offa [of England] may have made the Danes feel the web lacing up around them." (Vikingtidens utbrudd / The Outbreak of the Viking Age; p.88)

22. Norway in Viking Age (pp.275-6.36-7): "In the 1860s, Jens Jacob Worsaae presented a view of the Viking Age not unlike Humes and Gibbons." "Worsaae pointed out that it was Denmark that had to take up the fight for the national, religious and political independence of the Nordic countries at the earliest against the terrible Charlemagne – who stood at Denmark's

---

<sup>15</sup> "A.Sax. *thing*, a meeting, cause, affair, etc.; ... Dan. and Swe. *ting*, Icel. *thing*, a court, an assembly". (Webster)

southern border... They were based on what Worsaae calls the Norsemen's proud sense of independence and hatred of all oppression, whether it was secular or religious: Norwegians' and Swedes' war experience made them realize that the danger to Denmark also threatened the Nordic region's freedom and independence."

23. "On land they didn't have a chance against Charles. On the other hand, they could swarm towards the Frankish coasts and up into the major rivers Charles controlled, such as the Rhine, Meuse, Seine, Loire and Garonne. At these rivers, which ran far into Germany and France, they caused great damage with their ravages. The unexpected attacks had a deterrent effect on the Franks. They could imagine violent acts of revenge from Scandinavia in case they themselves attacked the Scandinavians' areas. The Scandinavians were outnumbered, but could stun the Franks with their pinprick tactics. Groups of Viking ships appeared and disappeared quickly after attacks throughout the mighty Frankish Empire. Charlemagne understood the threat they posed. This was evident one day when he was surprised to see Viking ships sailing into the port city where he was."

24. Titlestad (Sagasyn / Views on Saga; pp.49-0): "One of the most central community values in Norway is summarized in the words freedom and democracy<sup>[16]</sup>. It is often seen in the media that many believe that the idea of democracy in Norway comes from Athens – the cradle of democracy, as it is called. Others believe that the idea is a fairly modern phenomenon dating from the French Revolution in 1789. Common to the different perceptions is that they all assume that this was an impulse that came to Norway from outside. Even Prime Minister Jens Stoltenberg conveyed this myth at the opening of Stiklestadspelet in 2013: "Our nation was built with external impulses. The Norwegianest of what is Norwegian is international." This partial misconception echoes what we might call 21st century's loss of memory – especially in Norway."

25. "... even if we search with a magnifying glass, we hardly discover anywhere else that has created lasting democratic forms of development of the nature of the Nordic countries, especially in the Norwegian and Icelandic spheres. Good examples can be found in Alltinget in Iceland around 930 and the thing of the Isle of Man [Tynwald<sup>17</sup>], the world's oldest continuous thing-assembly. It was in Norway that Icelanders and the islanders on Man found their role models. The Gulating [West-Norway] was the concrete starting point. Through the sagas, we can study how these roots of our modern democratic culture developed. It took hundreds of years to entrench the democratic culture that seems so obvious to us today. But it is probably Norway's and Iceland's most important and unique contribution to a world that constantly craves freedom and democracy." "Democracy is not something Norway have received as a gift from the world, it is very much a self-developed project over a long period of time."

---

<sup>16</sup> A note on 'democracy'; "*Our Democracy* does not exist. The word "democracy" does not occur in the Constitution. We are a constitutional republic, not a democracy. Philosophical arguments can be made regarding the democratic process in America, but the relentless use of *our democracy* is a coffin nail for the Constitution." (Deborah. C. Tyler;

[https://www.americanthinker.com/articles/2023/03/taking\\_back\\_our\\_country\\_one\\_word\\_at\\_a\\_time.html](https://www.americanthinker.com/articles/2023/03/taking_back_our_country_one_word_at_a_time.html)

<sup>17</sup> "The name Tynwald, like the Icelandic Þingvellir and Norwegian Tingvoll, is derived from the Old Norse word Þingvǫllr meaning the meeting place of the assembly, the field (vǫllr→wald, cf. the Old English cognate weald) of the thing." (Wikip.)

26. Late 800s – Winston S. Churchill (*Historie / A History of the English-Speaking Peoples ; Engl./Norw./Engl. transl.; I; pp.116-7.127.125*); Churchill writes: "After they had ravaged the kingdom of Strathclyde and carried away cattle and farming implements, nearly half of the pirates settled in Northumbria and East Anglia... They retained the spirit of independence that characterized life aboard the longships, and which was modified only by the comradeship and discipline needed in critical situations. In this way the whole of East Anglia acquired a new type of agriculturalist, which, apart from the interests of the common defence, was not dependent on anyone, who had won his land by the sword, and who was only loyal to the military organization which secured them possession of this land."

27. "The settlers – for they had now become – brought their families over from Scandinavia, but it is also certain that they began a natural human contact with the English from whom they had taken the land. These vigorous individualists, these proud and victorious men of the sword, henceforth mixed blood with the islanders, they breathed new life into it, awakened it, gave it new strength, and came to exert lasting influence on it. Just as steel is hardened by the addition of special metals in comparatively small quantities, this strong element of individualism, based on land ownership, later came to play a steady role, not only for the English people but also in English politics. When, after long turmoil and confusion, important laws were passed and royal courts were established during the reign of Henry 2nd [king 1154-89], the descendants of these bold farmers showed great self-consciousness, and this was not only the case of the so-called *sokemen*, self-owning farmers, but people who were far lower on the social ladder. Three hundred years of tribulations had destroyed neither their original willpower nor their strong attachment to the conquered soil. Throughout England's history, this feature continues to play a shining role.

[28.] These seafarer-pirates not only took the spoon in another hand and became peaceful citizens, they also brought with them many Nordic customs to England... They also had a different conception of social justice than the Saxons, who were now characterized by the estates system. And as their common law gradually took shape, it came to signify a decisive advance in comparison with the Saxon. In his work "The Danes in England" (1927), F. Stenton writes: "In East Anglia we enter the area where the Nordic influence became permanent. Long before the Norman conquest, a peculiar farmer society had developed here, which preserved many Scandinavian features, and here the free farmer successfully defended his interests against the contemporary tendency to farm large estates."

29. "We see a nation being born. The result of Alfred's efforts [king 871-99] was the future unification of the Saxons and Vikings in a common and Christian England." "As Alfred's law book or book of judgment was formulated in the laws of Kent, Wessex, and Mercia, it is an attempt to reconcile the law of Moses with Christian principles and old-Germanic customs."

30. Some years ahead; "... [Isle of] Moster[ting]. One has meant it must have been held in 1024, and that it was some sort of Riksting. We meet in the text of the laws here quoted three parties: the king, the bishop and the farmers. The king and the bishop agree on how Christianity should be asserted in the country, but the farmers legislate what they put forward. Dictation is out of question; although the king could put his will through in the specific case, no law, after all, was made without being passed at the thing. We must assume that the

provisions of Mosterting were subsequently accepted at the various lag[law-]tings. Only then did they gain the power of law." (Church History Professor Carl. Fr. Wisløff; Norsk kirkehistorie / Norwegian Church History; I; p.83) "Both formally and materially the oldest legislation was a provincial legislation, and the Land Act [Landsloven, 1274] itself formally appears as 4 provincial laws with different datums." (Dr. Jur. Absalon Taranger; Udsigt over den norske Rets Historie / Overview of the History of Norwegian Law; p.202)

31. Dr. Rodney Stark (How the West Won; p.118): "For far too long, far too many historians have had a strong preference for empires. Not only have they continued to regret the fall of Rome, but they remember Charlemagne [crowned by the Pope year 800] as the man who almost "saved" Europe and restored civilization, but whose heirs undercut his great achievements by subdividing his empire. That Charlemagne was a bloodthirsty tyrant is ignored or rationalized because, as R.H.C. Davis explained, "he was devoted to the cause of Christianity and Roman civilization." Like a true Roman, Charlemagne was devoted to wars of conquest, leading his army somewhere to attack someone in almost every year of his forty-one-year reign. And he demonstrated his devotion to Christianity by pronouncing a death sentence on all who resisted becoming Christians.

In contrast, most historians have dismissed the Vikings as bloodthirsty enemies of civilization. As for the Normans, most historians have assumed that the sophistication shown by William the Conqueror and his nobles reflected their Viking forebears' rapid assimilation into Frankish culture. In fact, the Scandinavians were as civilized as the Franks, while William the Conqueror was as able as Charlemagne, and considerably more tolerant."

32. Titlestad (Vikingtid – Motstandsrett og folkestyre / Viking Age – Right to resistance and rule by the people; p.20) quotes Anders Winroth: "Charlemagne ... is today generally praised as the founder of Europe. France and Germany vie over who has the greatest right to call him their national founder. The European Union (EU) praises him as a symbol of the unification of Europe. One of the largest buildings for the EU administration's offices in Brussels is, for example, Charlemagne's building. The Europeans still remember that Charlemagne placed large parts of the continent under his rule, but the EU has chosen to forget that he committed genocide during the process."

33. Dr. Deborah C. Tyler (Politics Is Downstream from Culture...but What Is Culture Downstream From?<sup>18</sup>): "In 1827, in his work entitled "Critical and Miscellaneous Essays," Thomas Carlyle identified "[t]he **three great elements of modern civilization, gunpowder, printing and the Protestant religion.**" **For the sustenance of American civilization, the greatest of these was the Protestant religion. The left is frog-marching its way through Carlyle's trinity** [emphasis added], destroying the right to bear arms and the right to free speech – but for the ultimate destruction of American civilization, they must destroy classical American faith in God. To get the great elements of civilization to pervert and turn backwards with politics as the originating point, power must be taken away from God and placed in grasping human hands. The struggle is not between the right and left. It is between civilization and chaos."

---

<sup>18</sup>[https://www.americanthinker.com/articles/2022/04/politics\\_is\\_downstream\\_from\\_culturebut\\_what\\_is\\_culture\\_downstream\\_from.html](https://www.americanthinker.com/articles/2022/04/politics_is_downstream_from_culturebut_what_is_culture_downstream_from.html)

34. The gunpowder<sup>19,20</sup> – in short: A precursor to US Const. 2. Am., the English Bill of Rights (1689), writes "... taking into their most serious consideration the best means for attaining the ends aforesaid, do in the first place (as their ancestors in like case have usually done) for the vindicating and asserting their ancient rights and liberties declare ... That the subjects which are Protestants may have arms for their defence suitable to their conditions and as allowed by law".

"Whereas the late King James the Second, by the assistance of divers evil counsellors, judges and ministers employed by him, did endeavour to subvert and extirpate the Protestant religion and the laws and liberties of this kingdom ... By causing several good subjects being Protestants to be disarmed at the same time when papists were both armed and employed contrary to law ..."

35. The free speech – in short: In another article<sup>21</sup>, Deborah C. Tyler writes "The cloud of defeat enshrouding America is a spiritual, moral, and political conquest that seeks to control minds, debilitate character, and destroy institutions from within. That conquest starts with the power to control our words. We react, we write, we rail against them – but always using their words. They control the conversation. We have let the enslavers control our words. The disruptive force throughout American history has been Christianity. It disrupted slavery, segregation, and abortion because Christians rejected words of the oppressors. They called slaves their brothers; they call the pre-born babies. Freedom and sanity in your own life begin by reclaiming your words. Bring intentionality to the words you speak. If words don't serve truth and freedom, don't speak them."

36. Welcome to West-China – Andrew Breitbart (Righteous Indignation pp.122-123): "Even if [Herbert] Marcuse was promising unending sex, drugs, and rock and roll, most Americans were more interested in living in liberty with their families, in a society that values virtue and hard work rather than promiscuity and decadence.

So Marcuse had to find a way to defy the opposition. He found it in what he termed "repressive tolerance." In 1965, Marcuse wrote an essay by that name in which he argued that tolerance was good only if nondominating ideas were allowed to flourish – and that nondominating ideas could flourish only if dominating ideas were shut down. "[T]he realization of the objective of tolerance," he wrote, "would call for intolerance toward prevailing policies, attitudes, opinions, and the extension of tolerance to policies, attitudes, and opinions which are outlawed or suppressed." America was experiencing a "repressive tolerance" under which dissenting viewpoints were stifled; what it needed was "partisan tolerance."

In other words, if you disagreed with Marcuse, you should be forcefully shut up, according to Marcuse. This made political debate very convenient for him and his allies. This totalitarianism is now standard practice on college campuses, in the media, and in Hollywood – the very places that the Frankfurt School sought to control.

[37.] The First Amendment – the same instrument that allowed the Frankfurt School to land on our shores and express their pernicious ideas in freedom – was now curtailed by those who

---

<sup>19</sup> [http://kommentar-avisa.no/Gjestekommentarer/Gjestekommentarer-2018/Vaapenloven\\_det\\_4\\_riktet\\_vs\\_nordmannens\\_trad\\_vaepning-12.02-2018.pdf](http://kommentar-avisa.no/Gjestekommentarer/Gjestekommentarer-2018/Vaapenloven_det_4_riktet_vs_nordmannens_trad_vaepning-12.02-2018.pdf)

<sup>20</sup> "The history of gun registration is long and bloody," says Dudley Brown, President of The National Association for Gun Rights; "Regime after regime have tread the same path of gun controls leading to confiscation and disarming of law-abiding citizens." (<https://nationalgunrights.org/resources/press-releases/national-association-for-gun-rights-delivers-petitions-to-atf-headquarters/>)

<sup>21</sup> [https://www.americanthinker.com/articles/2023/03/taking\\_back\\_our\\_country\\_one\\_word\\_at\\_a\\_time.html](https://www.americanthinker.com/articles/2023/03/taking_back_our_country_one_word_at_a_time.html)

had benefitted from it. Marcuse called for a tyranny of the minority, since the tyranny of the majority could not be overcome without a total shutdown.

[38.] There's another name for Marcuse's "partisan tolerance": Political Correctness. In fact, the term "political correctness" came from one of Marcuse's buddies: Mao Tse-tung. Mao used the term to differentiate between those who had "scientifically correct" views and those who did not; those who did were termed "politically correct." In 1963, just two years before Marcuse's "repressive tolerance," Mao came out with an essay entitled "Where Do Correct Ideas Come From?" In that essay, he argued that the Marxist society determines correct ideas, and all incorrect ideas must be put out of their misery. Mao thought it. Marcuse thought it. And his ideological heirs thought it and still think it. Hello, neighbor!"

39. Hereafter, the Sons of Disobedience' Political Correctness – the remaking of Roman Imprimatur, if you prefer – is to be boosted by Artificial Intelligence.

40. "Sanctify them through thy truth; thy [God's] word is truth." (Jesus Christ (John 17,17) by whom all was created (Col. 1,16); "All power is given unto me in heaven and in earth" (Matt. 28,18); "the Father judgeth no man, but hath committed all judgment unto the Son". (John 5,22, cf. Acts 17,31) "I am the way, the truth [definite, singular], and the life; no man cometh unto the Father, but by me." (John 14.6))

41. **The Protestant religion** – rather the Biblical Faith – which made America great: Real Christendom is in essence the Reformation's "Scripture *alone*". To try to Make America Great Again without what made America great in the first place – it will not happen.

42. "The Evangelical-Lutheran Religion remains the State's public Religion", the Norwegian 1814-Constitution states in §2 – it, this statement (de facto the Constitution as a whole – cf. 1814-§2, the last §) is, with no shred of legality, relegated by Stortinget, May 21, 2012; "lo, they have rejected the word of the LORD, and what wisdom *is* in them?" (Jer. 8,9)

43. Tim LaHaye (Faith of Our Founding Fathers – A Comprehensive Study of America's Christian Foundations; pp.96.118.194): "... the first act of Congress, authorizing the printing of twenty thousand Bibles for the Indians. In order to unify our people, our leaders sought for universal acceptance of and access to the Word of God."

44. ""Of all the dispositions and habits which lead to political prosperity, religion and morality are indispensable supports. ... Who that is a sincere friend to it can look with indifference upon attempts to shake the foundation of the fabric?" [George] Washington issued this solemn warning because in France, shortly before he wrote his Farewell Address (1796), the promoters of atheism and amorality had seized control and turned the French Revolution into a shocking bloodbath of wild excesses and violence. Washington never wanted anything like that to happen in the United States. Therefore he had said: "In vain would that man claim the tribute of patriotism who should labor to subvert these great pillars of human happiness [religion and morality].""

45. "John Adams, our second president, said it this way: "We have no government armed with power capable of contending with human passions unbridled by morality and religion.

Avarice, ambition, revenge or gallantry would break the strongest cords of our Constitution as a whale goes through a net. Our Constitution was made only for a moral and religious people. It is wholly inadequate to the government of any other."

46. Thomas Jefferson, the third president, repeated the theme: "Can the liberties of a nation be sure when we remove their only firm basis, a conviction in the minds of the people, that these liberties are the gift of God? That they are not to be violated but with His wrath?"

47. But there is more: 'A word is from God, or it is not from God. If it be from God, it is not so after two different fashions.'

If you cannot hinder access to The Book (cf. Vatican-history), then fake it.

48. The topic is explained in the article/compilation '**The dead hand of Fenton John Anthony Hort lies heavy upon us' – Generational sin; [http://kommentar-avisa.no/Gjestekommentarer/Gjestekommentarer-2023/FJA\\_Hort's\\_døde\\_haand\\_NO&ENG\\_13PKT.pdf](http://kommentar-avisa.no/Gjestekommentarer/Gjestekommentarer-2023/FJA_Hort's_døde_haand_NO&ENG_13PKT.pdf); English original version follows from p. 17.**

49. Jakob van Bruggen (The Future of the Bible; (1978); p.124): "The comparison of a number of modern translations indicates that the years since [the 'revision' of] 1881 have witnessed a growing uncertainty with regard to the New Testament text. Critics today no longer choose one manuscript or textual group as the basic text, but reconstruct from all sorts of manuscripts a new, hypothetical text. The Greek New Testament of the UBS [United Bible Societies], for example, is based upon the majority vote of a team of five textual scholars, but *not* on the majority of ninety percent of the manuscripts. The result is that the textual basis for modern translations is subject to fluctuation."

50. Maurice A. Robinson<sup>22</sup>: "Modern eclectic praxis operates on a variant unit basis without any apparent consideration of the consequences. The resultant situation is simple: the best modern eclectic texts simply have *no* proven existence within transmissional history, and their claim to represent the autograph or the closest approximation thereunto cannot be substantiated from the extant MS [manuscript], versional or patristic data."

51. "The lay reader can be overwhelmingly convinced regarding any individual eclectic decision due to its apparent plausibility, consistency, and presumed credibility; arguments offered at this level are persuasive. A major problem arises, however, as soon as those same readings are viewed as a *connected sequence*; at such a point the resultant text *must* be scrutinized in transmissional and historical terms."

"Modern eclecticism creates a text which, within repeated short sequences, rapidly degenerates into one possessing no support among manuscript, versional, or patristic witnesses. The problem deteriorates further as the scope of sequential variation increases. One of the complaints against the Byzantine Textform has been that such could not have existed at an early date due to the lack of a single pre-fourth century MS reflecting the specific *pattern of agreement* characteristic of that Textform, even though the Byzantine Textform *can* demonstrate its specific pattern within the vast majority of witnesses from at least the fourth century onward. Yet those who use the modern eclectic texts are expected to accept a

---

<sup>22</sup> <http://www.jbtc.org/v06/Robinson2001.html>

proffered "original" which similarly lacks any pattern of agreement over even a short stretch of text that would link it with what is found in *any* MS, group of MSS, version, or patristic witness in the *entire* manuscript tradition."

52. Add to it 'dynamic equivalent translation':

van Bruggen (pp.29.78.99.81): "Formerly the central question was *what* one translated. Today the central question is for *whom* is one translating. The attention is shifting from translating into English *language* to translating for English-speaking *people*."

"The theology inherent in the theory of dynamic equivalence is related to a view of God, man, and the world closely associated with modern philosophy and the sciences based upon it."

"Since God has revealed His Word in a fixed and written form, the translator must be respectful of this form. Readable translations may be made without this respect, but they ignore God's words, "... to obey is better than sacrifice ..." [1. Sam. 15,22.] Obedience in Bible translating means a careful transmission of what God caused to be written. The translator should not attempt to *mediate* between God's Word and modern culture, but only render and transmit. Then God's Word itself will reach the people whom God in His grace wants to reach."

"Thus the miracle of conversion in the heart – not the medicine of dynamic equivalence – is the first great need. Through conversion man is made ready to hear things that by nature he does not want to hear and is incapable of truly comprehending."

53. Most 'Bible'-pushers today have a Greek NT-text that never was, and a rendering which leaves the original.

'A word is from God, or it is not from God...' – What shall you think about a preacher of God's Word who doesn't know what is the Bible?

"For the word of God *is* quick, and powerful, and sharper than any two-edged sword, piercing ..." (Heb. 4,12)

54. **Something else; there's no future if not properly addressed** – as President Vladimir Putin puts it; "We do not need to go to war with America. America is committing collective suicide by the way they are using electricity. We just have to wait until they are all in the psychiatric hospital."<sup>23</sup>

55. A short version: Dr. Martin L. Pall to the NIH: "The 5G Rollout is Absolutely Insane"; <https://youtu.be/kBsUWbUB6PE> .

56. More: "The slow spread of residential electrification in the US in the first half of the 20th century from urban to rural areas resulted by 1940 in two large populations; urban populations, with nearly complete electrification and rural populations exposed to varying levels of electrification depending on the progress of electrification in their state. It took until 1956 for US farms to reach urban and rural non-farm electrification levels. Both populations were covered by the US vital registration system. US vital statistics tabulations and census records for 1920–1960, and historical US vital statistics documents were examined. Residential electrification data was available in the US census of population for 1930, 1940 and 1950. Crude urban and rural death rates were calculated, and death rates by state were

<sup>23</sup> <https://einarflydal.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/Pall-ML-5g-emf-hazards-eu-emf2018-6-11us3.pdf>; p.63.

correlated with electrification rates by state for urban and rural areas for 1940 white resident deaths. Urban death rates were much higher than rural rates for cardiovascular diseases, malignant diseases, diabetes and suicide in 1940. Rural death rates were significantly correlated with level of residential electric service by state for most causes examined. I hypothesize that the 20th century epidemic of the so called diseases of civilization including cardiovascular disease, cancer and diabetes and suicide was caused by electrification not by lifestyle. A large proportion of these diseases may therefore be preventable." (Samuel Milham, MD, MPH<sup>24</sup>)

57. *The book on the issue is President of Cellular Phone Task Force, Arthur Firstenberg's<sup>25</sup> The Invisible Rainbow – A History of Electricity and Life<sup>26</sup> / Norw. ed. Den usynlige regnbuen<sup>27</sup>.*

58. And: see Radio Wave Packet – What You Need to Know about Wireless Technology; <https://cellphonetaskforce.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/Radio-Wave-Packet.pdf> ; (Norw. ver. <https://cellphonetaskforce.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/10/Radiobølgepakke-Norsk.pdf>).

**59. RECOMMENDED** (with some quotes & comments):

First. A basic course in something that a nation never can afford to let slide into the mist of oblivion:

60. **Yoram Hazony**, President of the Herzl Institute in Jerusalem, Chairman of the Edmund Burke Foundation, a public affairs institute based in Washington (author of *The Virtue of Nationalism*, and: *Conservatism - A Rediscovery*): **God, Nationalism and Conservatism: A Jewish View**; <https://youtu.be/MUqRQuaYzeI>.

61. **And follow up with Hazony; Why National Conservatism?;** <https://youtu.be/4cpvd1OqHJU>.

62. 05:30: "-In the 1990s the conservative movement was drunk with a feeling of power as a result of the victory over communism, and people who are drunk with power lose touch of reality. And these conservatives, in particular, forgot anything that they had ever known about how to conserve anything. They lost all interest in Edmund Burke and in traditionalism, they lost all interest in the details of the Anglo-American political inheritance except for a few particular lines that they keep quoting over and over again as their universal dogma, but the span of the tradition – they lost interest in it. They lost interest in the Bible, in Christianity and Judaism – neither nationalism nor religion had any hold on them any longer. All that interested them was economic liberalism and the rights of the free and equal individual. In other words, they became, instead of conservatives, they became a revolutionary movement, declaring universal liberal empire to be the world historical purpose of the American nation since its founding, and they set out on a mission to uproot all opposition to it, whether abroad or at home."

---

<sup>24</sup> Historical evidence that electrification caused the 20th century epidemic of "diseases of civilization"; Medical Hypotheses 74 (2010) 337–345; Summary; [http://sammilham.com/historical\\_evidence.pdf](http://sammilham.com/historical_evidence.pdf)

<sup>25</sup> <https://cellphonetaskforce.org/>

<sup>26</sup> <https://cellphonetaskforce.org/#>

<sup>27</sup> <https://einarflydal.com/bestill-bokene-her/>

63. 29:10: "-In the 1960s many conservatives went along with the idea that you could privatize religion. They thought you could just take God and Scripture and put them in the private sphere and it would be fine, because we have innate, natural, universal reason which would allow us to continue to defend the family and the nation and its borders and reasonable immigration rates and the ability to pay back our national debt and on and on; we thought you could just stay reasonable having gotten rid of God and Scripture.

And guess what – there's no shred of truth in this at all. We tried it, we did it. It turns out that it was God and Scripture that was holding in place the entire set of structures – *not* reason – *Tradition*. You throw out Christianity, and the Jewish contribution too with it, but basically in this country Christianity – you throw out Christianity, you throw out the Torah, you throw out God, and within two generations people can't tell the difference between a man and a woman, they can't tell the difference between a foreigner and a citizen, they can't tell the difference between this side of the border and the other side of the border. They can't tell the difference between paying back your debts and simply borrowing forever. It doesn't matter – all these things are the same. It sloshes back and forth, the political fads they come and they go with no anchor, with nothing to anchor them. And the only way, if there is a way, the only way to save this country, to bring it back to cohesion, to bring it back to independence and health, the only way to do it, is going to be to restore those traditions.

Okay, so I understand that many people were raised atheists – it's not simple. But let's start with this: If you're not giving honor to the traditions of your grandparents and your great-grandparents, if you're not giving honor to God and Scripture, if you're not giving honor to those traditions, you have simply thrown the anchor away. And when somebody comes and tells you: You know, I just think that the world is going to be better off if America is ruled from Brussels – so it sounds funny now – it's not *funny*, that's what they teach in the Universities.

There has to be a return to national tradition. to the traditions of this nation. These are Anglo-American traditions of constitutionalism, the common law, the English language, and the Christian religion with a specific emphasis in England, in America on the Old Testament."

64. **Vishal Mangalwadi**; (author of *The Book That Made Your World* / Norw. ed.: *Boken som skapte din verden*): **Why Christianity lost America – And How to Return America to Greatness.**

**Nailing my 9.5 Theses to the Doors of the American Church;**

[https://youtu.be/v\\_tFLv4Mnmw](https://youtu.be/v_tFLv4Mnmw); (Sound improves within 5 min. His 9,5 theses begin at 03:15.)

**Thesis 8, NATION:** [https://youtu.be/v\\_tFLv4Mnmw?t=5934](https://youtu.be/v_tFLv4Mnmw?t=5934)

**US Southern Border & borders in general** [https://youtu.be/v\\_tFLv4Mnmw?t=6144](https://youtu.be/v_tFLv4Mnmw?t=6144)

65. 01:33:04: "... what the seminary movement did ... is to take the sun. Theology was the queen of all sciences; Bible was the sun which illuminated every facet of life, every subject of study. And this sun was put in an academic silo, that you have to study Greek and Hebrew and all the ancient exegetes and theologians if you want to study the Bible, and you study the Bible, nothing *else*; you don't have to apply to law or economics or history or science or human beings or emancipation of women or whatever you have – sociology or psychology. You are studying theology. This was, as I said, a reaction against rationalism, but it created the Western civilization's central problem that *now* the university has no sun. That has become, that has happened silofication of the academy. Every department builds a silo ... the biologist never gave you a PhD, you have not been initiated, you're not part of the club, you can't enter our silo and pass a judgment. This silofication of the academy, so the world's best

trained economists ... can study graphs and charts and interpret them, but they don't know politics, and they don't know life, and they don't know history, and they can't relate their discipline with the rest of life, and life is not nicely segmented into different silos. So whole of the academy, the university has become source of darkness. Now if you really want to pursue that point, I do have an essay called Why Christianity lost America on the Internet".

66. 01:47:44: "-The problem that happened in America is, that at the end of the Second World War, when America imposes its biblical, reformed concept, political theology of nation upon the whole world – and the whole world loves it and welcomes it as a moral and superior idea – in *Europe* catholic and secular intellectuals team up to begin to critique and attack Protestant idea of a nation and nationalism; that the two World Wars happen because of German nationalism. Germany wants to be great nation; therefore it wants to have dominion over other nations. If we don't want the Third World War, we have to destroy this Protestant concept of nation and nationalism."

67. 49:21: "-... when Germany dismisses the Bible as myth; German higher criticism, German theology dismisses the Bible as myth, then the Bible has no controlling authority over its politicians and its leaders, so nationalism can mean whatever Hitler wants it to mean. The problem is *not* with nationalism. American nationalism paid tons with great lives to *build* nations that it had conquered, through Marshall plan and others to build the nations. That was *Biblical* nationalism. But these secular Catholics – first Catholic and then secular – intellectuals who teamed up with the Catholic attack on the Biblical idea of nation and nationalism, they began to dominate the press, and by that time the Christian mind, the anti-intellectualism in America, had already become so strong, and the seminary movement had already isolated theology from the rest of life in such a way that there *was* no Christian intellectual here in America who could stand up and defend biblical nationalism and say that what America is doing, *is* Biblical; what Hitler has done is secularized corruption of Biblical nationalism."

68. **Douglas Murray; The Death of Europe;** <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eQXHc-tJMXM>; Murray (author of *The Strange Death of Europe: Immigration, Identity, Islam* / Norw. ed.: *Europas underlige død – Innvandring, identitet, Islam*; and: *The Madness of Crowds: Gender, Race and Identity* / Norw. ed.: *Massenes vanvidd – Kjønn, rase og identitet*); interview by Peter Robinson, Hoover Institution.

69. 22:04: "-... I've been trying to work out why people have become so unhinged in recent years – it's not just social media; I go into some of that in the book, but it's – I think it goes something like this: We've tried to make the fruits of a liberal ethical system into the foundations of one. So, for instance gay rights of which – I'm gay myself, I'm a supporter of gay rights; you know, I think it's all good – but it's a great *product* of liberal rights, it's a hideous *foundation* for them – same thing with for instance women's rights; great supporter of women's rights, very glad ... we're here rather than a hundred years ago; but can it be the *basis* of a moral system or an ethical system? One of the reasons why I think we've being becoming deranged on this, is precisely as you mention, this hardware/software thing. The problem is something like this: one of the few things we can all agree on is we shouldn't be mean to people because of something they can't help. It's one of the reasons why we dislike it when for instance somebody's rude about somebody who's disabled or ... it's a horrible thing to do. Now things that people *can* possibly affect ... doesn't mean that we should be rude or unpleasant or anything else, but you're in different terrain. So, most rights movements have moved towards this thing of '*born this way*', the Lady

Gaga view; not my fault – crucially a counter to lifestyle choice, and I think lifestyle choice is something worth countering on this occasion. But 'born this way' says: -Nothing I can do about it, so be nice. And this has been adopted recently by the trans movement which says: - We are *born* trans – from *childhood* we're trans, so be nice. Now I've explained why this has happened with trans – it's fascinating, I think. But, at the same time we are making things that are *undoubtedly* hardware issues – gender, sex – into software."

70. This is all interesting – but at the same time Murray, as I understand him, is wrong on women's rights & gay rights; get it right, and you have a better understanding of why we ended deeper and deeper in the mire:

71. Feminism summarized "by Mary Kassian, a distinguished professor of women's studies at Southern Baptist Seminary: "Feminism began with the deconstruction of a Judeo-Christian view of womanhood; progressed to the deconstruction of manhood, general relationships, family/societal structures, and a Judeo-Christian worldview; and concluded with the concept of metaphysical pluralism, self-deification, and the rejection of the Judeo-Christian deity.""<sup>28</sup>

72. As I see it, 'women's rights' are extended to 'gay rights' and 'gay rights' are extended into the madness of the day – much of it thrives, by the way, under Gilbert Baker's revolutionary banner of a "gay nation"<sup>29</sup> – the Homo-flag.

73. "We have seen that there is a majority in Parliament [Stortinget] who are more concerned with being kind than with thinking. This becomes clear in case after case, as in the Legal Gender Change Act and as gender identity was included in §185 of the Penal Code on hate crime. There's no contradiction between using your head and having a warm heart for people who are struggling." (Norw. MP Jenny Klinge; Klassekampen Nov. 29, 2023)

74. Robinson / Murray, 25:40:"-... what eliminated the cultural immune system to craziness – am I being too ...?"

-No, no, you're understating it.

-Oh, forgive me – go ahead.

-The first thing is all the adults left the room; that's a big problem. It became increasingly hard, secondly, to hold concrete ideas up in public. People can sustain the most extraordinary abstract idea, as we see it every day, but they're finding it extremely hard to hold onto concrete ideas, because the concrete idea can have a personification right in front of you in the age of social media. So, it's not, you're not talking about people in the abstract, the person is *there* – you're talking about *this* person for instance. So – it's rather like borders – people find it hard to hold onto the hard *concepts*. But there are a whole set of other things as well: one is, and I think this is absolutely crucial, we shouldn't underestimate the move that has been played by what was a very fringe movement in academia that is now absolutely rampant across America and Europe, which is sometimes called social justice warriorism, sometimes called an intersectionalism, and so on – we didn't take this very seriously, but it should be taken seriously, in my view, because it is probably the single idea since the Cold War ended, that has made most headway and which makes the largest claims for itself. It is an attempt, this thing of – this is why the endless thing, women, gays, race, trans – endless injection of these things into *every* single public discussion, every *political* discussion."

<sup>28</sup> See [https://d10.creation.com/articles/p137/c13757/j34\\_1\\_63-70.pdf](https://d10.creation.com/articles/p137/c13757/j34_1_63-70.pdf) ; p.63; ref. 5.

<sup>29</sup> <https://gilbertbaker.com/rainbow-flag-origin-story/>

75. Andrew Breitbart: "Marcuse's mission was to dismantle American society by using diversity and "multiculturalism" as crowbars with which to pry the structure apart, piece by piece. He wanted to set blacks in opposition to whites, set all "victim groups" in opposition to the society at large. Marcuse's theory of victim groups as the new proletariat, combined with Horkheimer's critical theory, found an outlet in academia, where it became the basis for the post-structural movement – Gender Studies, LGBT/ "Queer" Studies, African-American Studies, Chicano Studies, etc. All of these "Blank Studies" brazenly describe their mission as tearing down traditional Judeo-Christian values and the accepted traditions of Western culture, and placing in their stead a moral relativism that equates all cultures and all philosophies – except for Western civilization, culture, and philosophy, which are "exploitative" and "bad."" (Righteous Indignation; pp.121-3)

76. Some observations from Thomas Sowell: "Factual evidence and logical arguments are often not merely lacking but ignored in many discussions by those with the vision of the anointed. Much that is said by the anointed in the outward form of an argument turns out not to be arguments at all. Often the logical structure of an argument is replaced by preemptive rhetoric or, where an argument is made, its validity remains unchecked against any evidence, even when such evidence is abundant. Evidence is often particularly abundant when it comes to statements about history, yet the anointed have repeatedly been as demonstrably wrong about the past as about the present or the future – and as supremely confident." (Sowell; *The Vision of the Anointed – Self-Congratulation as a Basis for Social Policy*; Ch.4; *The Irrelevance of Evidence*; p.64. Ch.9; *Optional Reality*; pp.241 ... :)

77. "This chapter's recapitulation of our exploration of the vision of the anointed will begin with its greatest achievement and its greatest danger, which are one and the same: That vision has become self-contained and self-justifying – which is to say, independent of empirical evidence. That is what makes it dangerous, not because a particular set of policies may be flawed or counterproductive, but because insulation from evidence virtually guarantees a never-ending supply of policies and practices fatally independent of reality. This self-contained and self-justifying vision has become a badge of honor and a proclamation of identity: To affirm it is to be one of us and to oppose it is to be one of them. Moreover, the pervasiveness of the vision of the anointed at all levels of the American educational system ensures future supplies of people indoctrinated with this vision and also convinced that they should "make a difference" – that public policymaking is to be seen as ego gratification from imposing one's vision on other people through the power of government.

The central tenets of the prevailing vision can be summarized in five propositions: ...

2. Evolved beliefs represent only a "socially constructed" set of notions, not reflections of an underlying reality. Therefore the way by which "problems" can be "solved" is by applying the articulated rationality of the anointed, rather than by relying on evolved traditions or systemic processes growing out of the experiences of the masses...

5. Opposition to the vision of the anointed is due not to a different reading of complex and inconclusive evidence, but exists because opponents are lacking, either intellectually or morally, or both.

Perhaps even more important than the specific tenets of this vision is that these propositions are not treated as hypotheses to be tested but as self-evident axioms. Evidence is seldom asked or given – and evidence to the contrary is often either ignored or answered only by a sneer."

78. Breitbart (p.114): "... the central idea of critical theory, namely that all of society had to be criticized ad nauseam, all social institutions leveled, all traditional concepts decimated. Marcuse later summed it up well: "One can rightfully speak of a cultural revolution, since the protest is directed toward the whole cultural establishment, including the morality of existing society.... What we must undertake is a type of diffuse and dispersed disintegration of the system.""

79. Nigel Farage: "**We're Heading for a Political Revolution**";

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RmamNF7cKoA#ddg-play>; interview by Konstantin Kisin and Francis Foster; TRIGGERnometry.

80. 18:12: "-God's gone – there's no God anymore – you've got to believe in *something*, so climate change and saving the planet has almost become a new religion; you know, added to which there are these terrible stories of hellfire and damnation unless we do the right thing... the new narrative is: the next Industrial Revolution is going to be green technology, green energy, and of course it's all for the birds..."

What I do know is that when anybody tells you the science is settled, that is utterly moronic. But *one* of the reasons it's so difficult to get an open debate on this, is: When Tony Blair put the Broadcasting Act into law in 2001 ... "

81. 23:55: "-What has been done in the name of climate change has led to one of the biggest transferences of money from the poor to the rich this country has ever seen in history... What we've done, is we've *loaded* up people's electricity bills with subsidies; up to 25 of your electricity bill has been for renewable obligation certificates etc., which means *basically* we've given billions to large wind farm companies, to Chinese manufacturers of solar panels, of wind turbines – it's ordinary folk and small businesses that have paid the cost for this, it's been going on for over 20 years, there's been an absolute conspiracy of silence in Westminster about it and *now*, because of the cost of living crisis, people are beginning to ask questions about, you know: -What do you mean I need a *heat pump*; it's going to cost me seven Grand to put a heat pump on the side of my house. You know, electric vehicles are very expensive... so now questions are being asked.

Now the new narrative is that actually this will all make us so much richer and so much better, there'll be so many more jobs ..."

82. 36:08: "-Nigel, why are politicians more disconnected than ever from the electorate ... ? -The influence is upon them. You know, Westminster is a – just as Brussels was when I was there – these are very small villages; there are *huge* influences on the individuals. And it happens in all sorts of subtle ways – it's the dinner you're invited to, it's the person you meet at the cocktail party, it's the basic desire of normal human beings to want to be accepted, want to be *accepted*. When I went to Brussels in 99..."

83. 47:05: "-Last week the Treasury were advertising jobs to work in a central bank digital currency department. Do not underestimate this... A central bank digital currency would mean a cashless society. We would not carry any cash. Now actually, the pandemic was used to try and stop us using cash ...

Central bank digital currencies, you know, would mean that every payment into our accounts or out of our accounts would be monitored, would be controlled, the state would have *total* supervision of the entirety of our economic activity...

Why should I as a law-abiding citizen be fearful of government knowing every single financial transaction that I do – because after all, if I'm law abiding, I've nothing to fear – unless, of course, you live in Canada, and you're a trucker, and unless you've had about 14 coronavirus jabs, at least one a fortnight. That's what Tony Blair wants for us, by the way... Tony Blair saying 'all the jabs to come'; – and these people were having their right to work taken away from them for no good medical reason, and they staged the truckers protest in Canada. What happened to them? Government put pressure; their bank accounts were closed and frozen. Under Central Bank Digital Currencies, they could have flipped a switch [cf. an remote-controlled AMS power switch] ... You are giving government *total* control over your life, and you know, we've learned just this weekend that ..."

84. 53:50: "-I spent over 20 years working in Brussels which is the epicenter of the globalist project. And it's that coming together of Big Government, Big Business, Big Banks, and now Big Tech. It is the common shared interest of all of those people; it is ... and you say climate change is their motivation, and their *real* motivation is money, money and control, *power* and control."

85. 57:24: "-... all the EU is *really*, is an updated form of Communism that uses big businesses as their friends. You know, they accept the state can't do everything, they need to have commercial actors that operate with them to do this. Look, it will not survive, but it is an enormously powerful institution, its grip – it's grip over the levers of politics and media, of Big Tech, which we just can't ignore any of this these days. It's huge, and any politician that *dares* to stand up and say we should leave it, he's in for a very unhappy life."

86. (A **parenthesis; a (kind of) summary** of where we are – and where we're heading; and the end of it... –

87. First: **Stephen K. Bannon; Tucker on X Ep. 41: Dublin in Flames - Steve Bannon Explains**; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZKDv866tIsk>; Tucker Carlson interviews Steve Bannon.

Immigration & finances: 12:18 - 20:36: <https://youtu.be/ZKDv866tIsk?t=739>)

88. Second: **John MacArthur; In God We Trust**; <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k7tWC5W3EcY>;

89. 3:51: "-... Well, we're watching the story of Israel unfold, aren't we? There are some people who think that Israel is now out of the plan of God. That's a very strange and unacceptable notion, since they're here. It is remarkable to think about that little strip of land with a few million people in it that is the only Jewish homeland on the planet Earth, is for some strange reason the focus of the whole world. And it seems so odd, doesn't it, that even though the Jewish people, from a purely human viewpoint, have made massive contributions to the quality of human life – they're a noble vine; among the strains of human ethnicity, they are remarkable, remarkable people. But we are seeing a world on fire with hate toward them. This is exactly what is going on here. We might assume that this was something new; it isn't – far from that. There have been efforts to exterminate the Jews going all the way back into the Old Testament. Haman wanted to exterminate the Jews in the day of Esther – a graphic effort at Jewish genocide. Certainly, the Nazis made their effort at it, and now we have the powers of Islam amassed against this beleaguered little nation. Will they survive? Well, if they

survive, if they continue to survive, then we are seeing the fulfillment of the promise of God. God promised that he would regather Israel, that he would regather them into their land from which they had been dispersed – really for centuries. And they came back..."

90. 7:38: "-Are we seeing any indication of God's faithfulness to Israel? Well indeed we are, because they're preserved, even though the whole world would like to see them removed – at least those who seem to be carrying the emotional hostility of the world. And why is that the case, why do all these people hate the Jews? The answer is pretty simple: Satan had two objectives. One was to destroy the Messiah. He failed at that. Tried to have him killed as a baby. He failed at that. Tried to have him killed by the Romans; he failed at that; he rose from the dead, ascended into Heaven and now reigns and will one day crush Satan's head and throw him into the Lake of Fire.

He couldn't prevent the plan of God with regard to the Son of God, the Messiah, from coming to fulfillment, so the only other thing he could try to do was to take down Israel. Satan wants to destroy Israel. You see a picture of that in the 12th chapter of Revelation. We talk sometimes about the Battle of Armageddon, which is described in Ezekiel 38-39 and then in the Book of Revelation. And what that pictures is a future time when all the nations of the entire planet converge on the little beleaguered nation of Israel.

*Why*; why are they so offensive, why is it that the entire world hates Israel, why is it that out of *nowhere* you can start a riot at a university over the hatred of Israel from people who have *no* reason to hate them? Where is this coming from?

Well, the Bible is clear that [in] the end of human history is a global power force from all parts of the earth descending on Israel and on Jerusalem for the final destruction of the Jews, only to be met by the Lord Jesus Christ and *they* will all be destroyed.

Satan is working to thwart God's plan by the destruction of Israel. There's a scheme in his plan, that's laid out for us in Scripture, and it goes like this: produce worldwide hatred of the Jews, escalate it and escalate it and escalate it – I used to wonder where's it going to come from, how do you get the whole world be anti-semitic, how do you get them to hate the Jews? The answer of course is Islam and the spread of Islam all over the planet which foments this. That's part of the strategy but that's not all of the strategy. There is a very strong effort to create one world government – it's called globalization – one world government. And what is this about? Well, behind it lies the idea that peace in the world is never going to come as long as you have nations because nations make war against each other. So, the only way to get peace in the world is to eliminate the nations, and destroy all nations, have one world government controlling everything for "the sake of peace".

Will that ever happen? Yeah, it will happen – it is Satan's plan, but within God's allowance, that one world government will come...

[91.] Now, the greatest enemy to this is national sovereignty, and the United States is the biggest roadblock. So, in the process of creating a one world government they are doing everything they can to destroy the government of every nation and in particular the United States. United States' political philosophy is familiar to us: natural rights, limited government, free markets, free religion, free speech, the rule of law, equally. Globalists want to destroy all that.

Globalists want to restrict freedom and restrict rights and eliminate nations and to eliminate property – control currency and transfer all power to the government; and the World Economic Forum is the leader in this revolution.

The plan is to remove free markets, using anything you can, including a pandemic, to destroy private enterprise. To do it not only by that means, but by every means, every crisis imaginable, by endless rules and regulations. Concentrate power in the hands of a very few

international leaders. Continue to gain this control through fear, and as people are made afraid, they will give up their freedoms and they will wear a mask and get a vaccination and fall in line.

[92.] The one world government is Satan's plan. Why? Because he wants control of it all, and he has a man to care for that – that's the Antichrist. One world government led by Antichrist, with only one religion –that's described in Revelation chapter 17; one world economy – Revelation chapter 18; and the Antichrist will rule from Jerusalem, setting up a final conflict with God. So, if you're wondering whether we're going to go toward globalization; yes, we are. Are we going to arrive at that globalization; yes, we are – within the plan of God; it's all laid out in the Book of Revelation. God is going to allow the world to come together under this man who promises peace. The Antichrist promises peace, and even Israel buys that lie for a little while, until he takes that peace and the bloodbath begins.

Why is God allowing this? The Book of Revelation says in the end the entire world will come after Israel, all of them, from everywhere – from everywhere. And that's what's called the Battle of Armageddon in the land of Israel..."

93. 20:58: "-People sometimes say to me: Is it important to know the future? My answer is: Absolutely. I don't want to know *all* the future; but I just want to know who *wins*, and that I'm on that team – and that is laid out for us in Scripture. Nothing is happening that isn't in the plan, and it's in the Book. Everything is on schedule..." Parenthesis ended.)

94. **'Climate Change', 'pandemic', Digital Central Bank Currency ... :**

95. **Steven E. Koonin; Hot or Not: Steven Koonin Questions Conventional Climate Science and Methodology;** <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=I90FpjPGLBE>; Koonin (author of *Unsettled: What Climate Science Tells Us, What It Doesn't, and Why It Matters* / Norw. ed. *Usikker vitenskap – Hva ...*); interview by Peter Robinson, Hoover Institution.

96. 02:18: "-Well, I had supposed that humans were warming the globe, carbon dioxide was accumulating in the atmosphere causing all kinds of trouble, melting ice caps, warming oceans and so on – and the data didn't support a lot of that, and the projections of what would happen in the future relied on models that were – let's say – shaky at best."

97. **Don Batten; Anthropogenic Global Warming (AGW) – a biblical and scientific approach to climate change;** <https://creation.com/climate-change>.

98. A virus and it's (injected) solution:

99. "1. Without the government's draconian handling, the pandemic would have gone under the radar for most people.

2. Negative consequences such as bankruptcies, inflation, inadequate schooling, school refusal and depression are solely due to the government's handling of the pandemic and not the Corona virus.

[100.] The reason for the first claim is that if the authorities had not shut down and established a testing regime with quarantine of healthy people, the mass media had failed to provoke fear in the population with war headlines, and NRK had refrained from listing the number of

infected and dead many times a day, then most people would not have known that a respiratory pandemic with a new virus was rippling through the population. Most of us have had Covid both once and twice. Covid causes flu-like symptoms and is for most people a mild illness. We are used to such respiratory infections. Covid has a slightly higher mortality rate than regular flu, but few people know anyone who has died from Covid. I have asked many and all answer no. It is fitting that only one in a thousand infected people die from Covid, according to the Norwegian Institute of Public Health. Empirical studies show that the authorities' handling had little or no effect on infection or death. It is therefore self-evident that without the authorities' handling and the war headlines of the mass media, most people would not have experienced the pandemic as anything other than what they are used to during flu seasons.

[101.] The rationale for the second claim is that for most people, Covid is a mild flu-like illness of a few days' duration. The vast majority of people who die are over the age of 70 or have complicating illnesses. This means that it is not the able-bodied part of the population that dies. The consequences of the virus itself are therefore no worse than after a somewhat harsh flu season. Instead, we have experienced bankruptcies, economic downturns, inadequate schooling and more that can only be explained by the government's draconian handling in the form of closures of businesses and schools, border closures, quarantine of healthy people, etc. The claims may not have been self-evident as early as March 2020, but they were plausible. Even then, figures indicated that mortality was low and that it was primarily the elderly who died. Guidelines for dealing with respiratory pandemics prior to March 2020 did not recommend closures of businesses or schools. Closing borders or quarantining healthy people was also not recommended. On the contrary, the recommendations were that life should run its course in the normal way precisely to prevent the negative consequences we are now experiencing as a result of the authorities' handling.

A little reflection should reassure most people that the above claims are self-evident. One has to be an "expert" blinded by computer models not to see that the government's handling has been a long series of errors and led to serious consequences for the population. The handling shows how much damage the authorities can inflict on a population." (Dr. Halvor Næss; steigan.no June 15, 2023)

#### 102. Robert W. Malone; The grinder of truth grinds slowly;

<https://www.document.no/2023/11/22/doc-tv-sannhetens-kvern-maler-langsomt/>; Malone (author of Lies My Gov't Told Me – And the Better Future Coming / Norw. ed. Hvordan myndighetene bløffet oss – Og veien mot en bedre fremtid); interview by Rebecca Mistereggen; Doc.TV.

("The broadcast contains information about COVID-19 and therefore it will not be published on YouTube. This story will also not be published on Facebook.")

103. So – what's next? A new Swamp&CCP-virusconstruction? Western economic meltdown (or 'reset')? Some more wars? All of it?

104. "You now have to pay roughly twice as much for the Dollar and fifty percent more for the Euro than you had to 15 years ago. Our currency is "chronically ill" and "nobody does anything." Everyone wonders why, and few become wiser by reading what the media writes."

Writes lawyer Rune Østgård, (author of *Fraudcoin - 1000 år med inflasjon som politikk* / Engl. ed.: *Fraudcoin: 1000 Years with Inflation as a Policy*).

105. He continues: "It is the politicians who want a weaker Krone [Crown] and who control the most important instruments for getting what they want. I have written this note so that everyone can get some insight into the topic. It focuses on providing an overview of money market participants where exchange rates are set and their incentives and possibilities for influencing the value of the Krone in one direction or the other. Finally, I write a little about the consequences of politicians allowing the value of the Krone to decline."

106. After several pages Østgård writes: "When the Krone depreciates over time, this becomes self-reinforcing, as export companies gain ever more power and the group that wants a strong Krone steadily gains less power.

Over time, we produce less and less for ourselves and more and more for the foreigners. The redistributive effect of weakening the Krone is enormous. The biggest losers are us the people who steadily get less back for the money we try to get by working. Norwegian capital owners who have not adapted for export are also deprived of the wealth they have accumulated.

In the short term, export companies earn more money, while politicians benefit from it.

Over time, however, only foreigners, primarily Big Capital, benefit from this. The weaker the Krone, the cheaper raw materials they get from Norway. Then they can focus on getting more profit out of processing and industry in other countries.

The effect on Norwegians and Norwegian companies is that they are increasingly focused on picking up crumbs that fall from the king's table, by engaging in various forms of rent-seeking activities. We are already seeing this in full force in connection with the so-called "green shift".

[107.] In the end, Norway ends up as a raw-material producing banana republic with chronically weak currency. This is happening faster than many believe, as evidenced by the crazy fall in the value of the Krone over the past 15 years.

When we look at monetary policy in the context of power policy and the wealth tax, the overall picture is that politicians are selling off our country piece by piece in order to gain short-term benefits for themselves. It's almost like watching the crew plunder a sinking ship before jumping into the lifeboats without letting passengers accompany them." (Østgård; Derfor har krona blitt en shitcoin / Therefore, the Krone has become a shitcoin<sup>30</sup>)

108. Nannestad, East-Norway. Frank "Melby has gotten food bags from "Heart Room" since it started handing out food five years ago. "-Back then, we were eight families who came. Now it has increased to a hundred. In the last two years, things have changed, clearly. Prices have skyrocketed and it's getting worse and worse," Melby says.

Researchers from Fafo [Institute for Working Life and Welfare Research] believe that the large influx of food queues around in Norway may indicate that we can get absolute poverty in the country. In addition, the report "Food aid on a voluntary basis" shows... that there are more and more social groups in need of food aid. "-We are used to thinking of Norwegian poverty as a relative phenomenon. This means that you are worse off, but that you still have the most necessary. The fact that you get such an emergence of people who do not have

---

<sup>30</sup> <https://www.document.no/2023/11/29/derfor-har-krona-blitt-en-shitcoin/>

enough food suggests that we can get absolute poverty in Norway as well. They simply lack the essentials""', says Fafo's General Manager, Tone Fløtten, to NRK. (Nationen Nov. 29, 2023)

109. November 21, 2023. "The smelter at Lista uses roughly 2 TWh of electricity a year and is one of the largest power consumers in Norway."

"(Montel) International aluminium giant Alcoa is considering closing its plant at Lista in southern Norway, partly due to elevated power prices, according to a letter seen by Montel on Tuesday.

"After reducing operations in 2022 as a result of high electricity prices, Lista is still struggling with tough margins, and it is expected that 2024 will be a particularly demanding year,"

"For that reason, Alcoa is now considering all possible future scenarios for Lista. As you [the oil and energy ministry] probably understand, full shutdown is one of the scenarios being considered."

Montel understands that plans by the Norwegian government to cut the CO2 compensation for industrial firms from the start of the new year will compound the problems for the plant."<sup>31</sup>

110. "Access to cheap, renewable power has made it possible to produce fertilizer, aluminium, steel..." "If this disappears as a result of an indiscriminate incorporation into the European power market, De Facto figures show that up to 400.000 jobs could be lost. This would happen at the same time as the government's goal is for Norwegian exports excluding oil and gas to increase by "at least 50 per cent" by 2030. A major review by the Free Trade Union Movement last week showed that the industry fears a landslide of dismissals as a result of persistently high electricity prices." (Pol. Ed, Bjørgulv Braanen; Klassekampen Dec. 05, 2023)

111. "To a written question, Statistics Norway [SSB] replies: "The increase in the CPI has to do with much higher electricity prices [with Brussels-heads as basic reason]. The increase in core inflation has a lot to do with higher food prices (especially imported foods). Then one can assess for oneself how likely it is that excessive demand in the Norwegian economy is the cause.""

"The following two questions will be sent in a letter to Norges Bank [The central bank of Norway] (with a copy to relevant market participants):

1. According to Statistics Norway, inflation has a lot to do with higher electricity prices. This is governed by an external power exchange. The increase in core inflation has a lot to do with higher food prices (especially imported foods). The rise in prices in several of the other decisive sectors in the CPI is also overwhelmingly due to imports. Can Norges Bank describe specifically how an interest rate hike would reduce inflation in such a scenario?

2. Norges Bank's task is to maintain a stable Krone exchange rate. But then it is a paradox and counterproductive to sell over one billion Kroner every day that drives down the value. This will obviously be compensated for by an interest rate hike, at the same time as the currency is deposited in the Oil fund [invested abroad]. Has this been ordered by the Government, and does Norges Bank believe that this is beneficial for fighting inflation? If so, how?" (MBA Kristoffer Grude and analyst Sigbjørn Galåen; Klassekampen.no Nov. 18, 2023)

---

<sup>31</sup> <https://www.montelnews.com/news/1531532/alcoa-mulls-closure-of-2-twh-year-norway-plant>

112. **Lynette Zang; Fed pivot won't save economy, Brace for crisis, CBDC and 'full surveillance economy'**; [https://youtu.be/PZyc13m\\_ymU](https://youtu.be/PZyc13m_ymU); Lynette Zang, Chief Market Analyst at ITM Trading; interview by Michelle Makori, Editor-in-Chief, Kitco News.

113. 31:25: "... when you look at the choices that are being made, they're really destabilizing on so many levels, and when you stop and think about the fact that it took more to bail out two Banks than the entire fund to bail out all of the banks, you know, you're starting to see a picture; but this is why I say I am not convinced that they're trying to even kick the can down the road...

... what are the global central banks saying, whether it's here or it's in Europe, is that the central banks are more concerned about fighting inflation – which of course they never say, the inflation that we created and caused – but they're more concerned about fighting inflation than they are about the markets, which I don't really necessarily believe, but I think that they're fighting for some semblance of credibility. And okay, sometimes it could just be stupidity, but doesn't it kind of seem like there's stupidity in every area?"

114. 37:52: "...and that division between the haves and the have-nots have grown wider and wider and wider. This is *absolutely* by design – it was by design when they created this system and they created, they put inflation, embedded it, *into* the system because – what did they say – now, one man in a million understands inflation..."

115. 39:29: "-Just to recap, just to clarify this, because I always like to distill things in a way that our viewers understand: this is by design, you're saying a way to create an implosion within the financial system, you're saying by design, in order to lay the groundwork for a central bank digital currency?  
-And more than that, a complete social economic and financial reset of the system." Etc.

#### 116. POSIWID – the Purpose Of a System Is What It Does...

117. (By the way, take a look at this one: <https://www.document.no/2023/11/27/banken-mistenker-meg-for-hvitvasking-fordi-jeg-bruker-kontanter/>; "We have recorded that over the last 12 months you have taken out ... NOK in cash withdrawals ... What is the purpose of the cash withdrawals? Please send supporting documentation of the use of the funds."  
"When the last part of the letter is read and I perceive that they are actually threatening to close my accounts and also liquidate the customer relationship if I do not document what I have spent my money on in the last twelve months by Nov. 27, 2023 (4 days with weekend in between...")

#### 118. MORE ON THE BASICS:

119. **David Martyn Lloyd-Jones** (1899-1981); Pastor of Westminster Chapel, London – (files can be downloaded):

120. **The Message of the Bible Today**; Exodus 20,1-26; 27.11.1955.  
<https://www.mljtrust.org/sermons/old-testament/the-message-of-the-bible-today/>.  
(Lloyd-Jones seems to have forgotten the seventh day is (also) one of rest...)

121. **Has Christianity Failed?**; Luke 18,8; 05.11.1961;  
<https://www.mljtrust.org/sermons/other-sermons/has-christianity-failed/>.

122. **Fake Religion**; Jeremiah 2,26-28; 18.12.1960; <https://www.mljtrust.org/sermons/old-testament/fake-religion/>.

123. **Moral Perversion**; Isaiah 5,20; 23.02.64; <https://www.mljtrust.org/sermons/old-testament/moral-perversion/>.

124. **Henry M. Morris** (1918-2006); President of the Institute for Creation Research (ICR) and author of books with same titles as the following (downloadable) speeches:

125. **The Long War Against God**;  
[http://www.nwcreation.net/audio/media/long\\_war\\_against\\_god.wav](http://www.nwcreation.net/audio/media/long_war_against_god.wav).

126. **Scientific Creationism**; [http://nwcreation.net/audio/media/scientific\\_creationism.wav](http://nwcreation.net/audio/media/scientific_creationism.wav).

127. **The Genesis Record**; [http://nwcreation.net/audio/media/the\\_genesis\\_record.wav](http://nwcreation.net/audio/media/the_genesis_record.wav).

128. (A recent ICR-article: <https://www.icr.org/article/renowned-chemist-on-origin-of-life/>;  
"How many millions (billions?) of dollars of research money have been apparently wasted on fruitless OoL [origin-of-life] speculation? And what's really the point of such research, anyway – to help nervous atheists sleep better at night?")

129. **LASTLY – MORE HISTORY**; past, present & future:

A Norwegian (only) text; **Det fjerde rike / The Fourth Empire**; [http://kommentar-avisa.no/Gjestekommentarer/Gjestekommentarer-2020/Det\\_fjerde\\_riket-03.02.2020.pdf](http://kommentar-avisa.no/Gjestekommentarer/Gjestekommentarer-2020/Det_fjerde_riket-03.02.2020.pdf).

## POSTSCRIPT

Ludvig Hope; Eit ord i dag / A word today (1930); May 17:

""And Israel said unto Joseph, Behold, I die: but God shall be with you, and bring you again unto the land of your fathers." (Genesis 48,21)

At all times, the peoples have loved their fatherland. And this love was never deeper and purer than that of those who had to dwell with a strange people. When Israel was in Babel, they hung their harps away and wept with longing for their homeland. It is the same thing that happens today when one must live apart from one's people and fatherland.

Borders, language, kinship, family heritage, neighborhood, school, prayer house, church and cemetery – all this and much more binds the people together into one big family. As God has promised to bless and cherish the people in the homes, when they work together in love, he will also cherish and bless a people who hold together and live in faith and godliness.

It is by no means a Christian virtue to be indifferent to one's fatherland. "And seek the peace of the city whither I have caused you to be carried away captives, and pray unto the LORD for it: for in the peace thereof shall ye have peace." Jer. 29:7 [L. Hope's text reads "Do what you can, so it must go that city well which..."] God said that to those of the people of Israel who had to live in Babel. How much more will he tell us to work and pray for our people and for all those who rule over us, that we may live in peace and decency and godliness. That says God's Word to you and me. May we know our responsibility to the fatherland and the people,

"from the frontier out to the drifting nets," that in labor and prayer we carry it upwards toward God, from the palace to the lowest hut. God will!

Gud signe vårt dyre fedreland Og lat det som hagen bløma!  
Lat lysa din fred frå fjell til strand Og vetter for vårsol røma!  
Lat folket som brøder saman bu, Som kristne det kan seg søma!"



*(Photo: D.J.H.)*