HITLERS AKADEMIKERE OG LEGER

"Den som utøser menneskets blod, ved mennesket skal hans blod utøses; for i Guds billede skapte han mennesket." (1. Mosebok 9,6)

Dag Jørgen Høgetveit; april 2015

DØDSSTRAFF for overlagt drap er innført av Skaperen godt 23 århundreder f.Kr.; meddelt vår forfar Noah og siden gjeldende.

Overskriften er hentet fra sjette kapittel i Jerry Bergmans bok Hitler and the Nazi Darwinian Worldview - How the Nazi eugenic crusade for a superior race caused the greatest holocaust in world history.

"Although well documented, it is not widely known that Germany's medical doctors were among Hitler's strongest and earliest supporters. In a study of doctors under Hitler, professor Michael Kater determined that "German physicians...were overrepresented in the Nazi party as well as its adjunct organizations as early as 1933" a claim that has been documented with "graphic clarity.""(1)

Noen årtusen bakover igjen, til en lege-ed tilskrevet 'medisinens far', Hippocrates, født på Kos 460 f.Kr.:

"I will impart this Art by precept, by lecture and by every mode of teaching... to disciples bound by covenant and oath, according to the Law of Medicine.

The regimen I adopt shall be for the benefit of my patients according to my ability and judgement, and not for their hurt or for any wrong. I will give no deadly drug to any, though it be asked of me, nor will I counsel such, and especially I will not aid a woman to procure abortion. Whatsoever house I enter, there will I go for the benefit of the sick, refraining from all wrongdoing or corruption... Whatsoever things I see or hear concerning the life of men, in my attendance on the sick or even apart therefrom, which ought not to be noised abroad, I will keep silence thereon, counting such things to be as sacred secrets."(2,3)

"Du skal ikke slå ihjel." (Guds 5. bud; 2.Mosebok 20,13)
"Det er: Vi skal frygte og elske Gud, saa vi ikke gjør vor næste skade eller mén paa hans legeme, men hjælper og støtter ham i al legemlig nød." (M. Luther; Lille Katekisme)

"... no deadly drug ..., though it be asked, ... nor ... counsel such, ... not aid ... to procure abortion."

Tyskland igjen: "Under Hitler, the German medical community was accustomed to receivingquestionaries to be completed for racial hyginene bureaucrats; so it was not unusual when the "Committee for the Scientific Treatment of Severe Genetically Determined Illness" wrote to doctors and midwives in 1939 to compile "details of any children under three years of ageexhibttingDown's syndrome, spina bifida, missing or malformed limbs, spasticism and a range of similar conditions... In October, those children whose files had been marked with a plus were collected from their parents and taken to special paediatric clinics. There they were put to death." ((D. Sewell) The next step was to send a set of forms asking about children in the next age bracket, a process that was "repeated until the state had identified, abducted and murdered all handicapped children up to the

age of seventeen." ((Sewell)) Last, the adult "handicapped" and mentally deficient were targeted, resulting in killing many thousands... The whole process was carried out by, or under supervision of, medical doctors."

"The claim that these professionals were forced to participate in these crimes is, in the case of doctors and academics, false. Dr. Hans Hefelman, a high-level euthanasia programme bureaucrat, testified, "No doctor was ever ordered to participate in the euthanasia programme; they came of their own volition." ... Proctor wrote that "Doctors were never ordered to murder psychiatric patients and handicapped children," rather they "were empówered to do so, and fulfilled their task without protest, often on their own initiative. Hitler's original memo of October 1939 was not an order (Befehl), but an empowerment (Vollmacht), granting phsysicians permission to act.""(4)

"As noted, Hitler had enormous support from physicians, both those in academia and those working in hospitals. When Hitler and Himmler made it known to the leaders of the medical profession that their goal was to murder Jews and others, "few in the German medical profession believed it... ((was a good idea)) to refuse" to be involved in murdering those determined to be "useless eaters." ((Wiesel)) One of the few physicians who openly opposed the Nazis even before they came to power was a Jewish doctor named Julius Moses. Moses was concerned about articles written by the leader of the National Socialist Welfare Organization calling for the killing of disabled persons. Dr. Moses wrote in 1932 that the Nazi eugenic programmes had to enlist physicians to do the dirty work, writing that "it is the physician who must carry out this extermination...he is to be the executioner." ((Weikart)),,, Dr. Moses himself... died in a concentration camp in the 1940s."(5)

"Goede noted that although some physicians tried to resist being part of Hitler's killing machine, sometimes successfully, most "physicians did not even make the attempt, despite their oath.""(6,7)

Foster-'medisinen' har gjort fremskritt siden Førerens dager; vi er kommet langt i å bli kvitt Downs på et tidlig stadium. "Nylig publiserte Folkehelseinstituttet statistikk som viser at kun 56 barn med diagnosen Downs ble født i 2013. I 2012 var dette tallet 67. Det er også andre året på rad hvor det utføres flere aborter enn det fødes barn med Down syndrom."(8)

"I store deler av verden drives det en målrettet leting etter sykdom og avvik hos fosteret, en nådeløs jakt som savner sidestykke i menneskenes historie." Skriver Ola Didrik Saugstad, professor ved pediatrisk forskningsinstitutt, UiO; fortsatt Saugstad:
"I boken "Barndom i Norge Gjennom 1000 år" beskriver Ørnulæ Hodne hvordan uønskete barn og barn med misdannelser ble behandlet i hede ensk tid.""Den vanligste måten å gjøre det på, var å sette det uønskede barnet ut i skog og villmark for å dø. Det anses også som sikkert at formeringen av treller ble begrenset på samme vis... – vanskapte, syke og svake – måtte bli en byrde som det var nærliggende å kvitte seg med, for å sikre resten av huslyden et bærekraftig livsgrunnlag". Kristenretten i de gammelnorske lovene forbød og straffet strengt barneutbæring – det store mordet – med mindre barnet var et skremmende misfoster. Det er tankevekkende at noe av det første som skjer ved sekulariseringen er at vi fjerner syke fostre, en slags dagens barne-utbæring selv om det skjer med mer raffinerte metoder enn i førkris-

"... andre året på rad hvor det utføres flere aborter ennedet fødes barn med Down syndrom. I 2013 ble det utført 62 svangerskapsavbrudd grunnet påvist diagnose".(8)

"-Forferdelig." Mon det? Mer "forferdelig" enn "svangerskapsavbrudd" úten "påvist diagnose"?

"Men et foster er da et menneske! protesteres der. Ja, og det er nøjagtig den slags betragtminger, som ingen gyldighed har, når der skal gøres alvor af det nye menneskesyn."(10)

Vi er tilbake ved Jerry Bergmans boktittel "... the Nazi Darwinian Worldview"; (men en annen bok):

"Darwin's On the Origin of Species had been translated into German in 1875 and his ideas had been earnestly promoted by Ernst Haeckel (1834-1919), the most famous German Darwinist of the time, and notorious forger of the embryo diagrams discussed earlier. Haeckel believed that evolution would "... bring forth a complete rewolution in the entire world view of humanity." ((R. Weikart)) He argued that Darwinism required the abandonment of Christian morals, so the stage had been set to justify the 20th century's first genocide by regarding the Herero people as sub-human."(11) (In 1904 the "German government dispatched 14,000 troops ... to suppress" a Herero-rebellion in German annexed Namibia. "In the massacre that followed, the Herero population was reduced from a tribe of 80,000 to a mere 15,000 starving refugees."(11))

"The legal systems of Western nations were founded on the Judeo-Christian principles embodied in the Law (the first five books of the Bible), which was given by God to Israel to set them apart from other nations to be a kingdom of priests and a blessing to all nations. Germane to the Law is the acknowledgement of God, the sanctity of life and consideration of others. These principles were summarized by Jesus in the commands to love God and love your neighbor as yourself (Matthew 22:37-40). However, the rejection of God is increasingly reflected in the liberalization of the laws of Western nations. The evolutionary paradigm erodes the essential value of human life, since we are all merely the result of a cosmic accident, so the ever burgeoning laws legalizing abortion, euthanasia, prostitution, etc. all progressively devalue humanity. However, Christianity declare the sanctity of life grounded on the revelation that God made man in His image (Genesis 1:26-27). Tragically, that sanctity is violated on a massive scale through the hideous practice of abortion - the killing of unborn babies.

Evolutionary theory was for many years taught hand-in-hand with the idea that the human embryo goes through (or recapitulates) various evolutionary stages, such as having gills like a fish, a tail like a monkey, etc., during the first few months that it develops in the womb. This idea has not only been presented to generations of biology /medical students as fact, but has also been used for many years to persuasively justify abortion. Abortionists often claim the unborn child being killed was still in the fish stage or the monkey stage, and had not yet become a human being.

Called embryonic recapitulation, this idea was vigorously expounded by Ernst Haeckel from the late 1860s to promote Darwin's theory of evolution in germany, even though Haeckel did not have legitimate evidence to support his wiews. What's more, Haeckel's drawings were fraudulent. Most informed evolutionists in the past 70 years have re-

alized that the recapitulation theory is false. Nevertheless, the recapitulation idea is still advanced as evidence for the theory of evolution in many books — contributing to the ongoing tragic killing of many babies. The authors of this chapter recently met a young man who testified that while at secondary school his biology text book taught that ontogeny recapitulates phylogeny, based on Haeckel's fraudulent drawings. A friend of the young man, on observing the drawings, remarked, "So abortion is OK!" The association between Haeckel's evolutionary lie and the moral acceptability of killing unborn babies was made instantly. Judge Harry Blackmun accepted Haeckel's embryos in Roe v Wade and so declared the fetus a non-citizen and unleashed a wave of abortions throughout the Western world."(12) (Roe v Wade er tidlig 1970-tall; men bakover igjen:)

"It should ... not be surprising that the world's first eugenics society was founded in Germany, promoting the genetic purification concepts espoused by Darwin's cousin, Francis Galton (1822-1911)." (13)

""Det gjelder derfor mer enn noen sinne at den arbeidsdyktige stammen ved rasehygiene blir så kraftig som mulig, så den kan overkomme kravene uten å miste sitt livsmot. Det er med dette syn på fremtiden at Tyskland har gått til tvangssterilisering av degenererte mennesker. På den ene side går våre bestrebelser ut på å sikre en kraftig, avlsdyktig og ydende stamme, på den annen side å befri den for snyltedyr og ukrutt. Bare ugresset koster landet mange millioner kroner om året"." Magne Roland siterer Bondepartiets Erling Bjørnson under fremteggelse av "forslag fra en enstemmig justiskomite om ny sterilisersingslov."(14) Roland fortsetter: "Det var bare en stortingsrepresentant som talte mot lovforslaget i den påfølgende debatt, og loven ble vedtatt mot denne ene stemme. Året etter begynte tvangssterilisering av tatre. Hva sier dette om den individuelle samvittigheten til de legene som medvirket til å iverksette loven?" Man skrev 1934-1935.

Det har vist seg plundrete å få bekreftet Stortingets dagsorden 9. april 1940; en e-post fra Stortingsarkivet (29.09.02) skriver at "det ikke finnes dagsordener fra denne perioden."

Marta Steinsvik i 1946 "Til formannen i Stortingets justiskomité": bl.a. om "medisinaldirektør Evangs og andres årelange beætrebelser for å legalisere "abortus provocatus". Medisinaldirektøren - hvis innstilling best belyses av hans offentlig uttalte ønske om at homoseksualitet og liknende perversiteter måtte bli tillatt (konf. hans skrift "Rase og reaksjon") - hadde som bekjent fremsatt for Stortinget forslag til endring av Straffelovens bestemmelser vedr. straff for "abortus provocatus". Spørsmålet skulde opp til behandling 9. april 1940, men den dagen hadde Stortinget fått annet å tenke på."(15)

Det går noen år; ikke så mange. "Loven om svangerskapsavbrytelse som kom i 1960 inneholdt fortsatt fengselsstraff for kvinner som ikke ble omfattet av loven. Loven erstattet på mange måter straffelovens §245 og representerte en liberalisering da den innførte sosiale indikasjoner som grunn for svangerskapsavbrytelse."(14)

I 1965 vetok USAs høyesterett "at et individs rett til "privatliv" ble krenket av antiprevensjonsloven til Connecticut. Denne rettsavg-jørelsen, Griswold Vs Connecticut garanterte retten til privatliv og banet veien for Høyesteretts avgjørelse i Roe Vs Wade. I 1973 vedtok USAs' høyesterett (Roe Vs Wade) at Texas' strenge abortlov var grun-

nlovsstridig fordi den krenket kvinnens rett til privatliv." "Det ble starten på en splittelse i det amerikanske folk som har fortsatt til denne dag. Samtidig ble denne dommen raskt starten på liberaliseringen av abortlovene i mange vestlige land, inkludert Norge."(9) Norge. "Den prinsipielle forskjellen på loven av 1960 og 1978, er at førstnevnte kan gi adgang til noe som i utgangspunktet er forbudt. Sistnevnte snur dette og lar svangerskapsavbrytelse bli en rettighet. I dag er loven av 1978 i stor grad akseptert av de fleste og engasjementet synes nesten fraværende."(14)

"Mote et flertall i befolkningen trumfet ... Stortingets ytterst knappe flertall prinsippet om selvbestemt abort i loven av 1975, med endringer i 1978." "Da den norske loven ble presset igjennom i Stortinget mot et folkeflertall, skjedde det som mange motstandere av loven i 1975 og 1978 fryktet; at selve lovformuleringen etterhvert bidro til å påwirke folks rettsoppfatning. Mange har gradvis godtatt fosterets rettsløshet også fordi aborttallene ikke har endret seg dræmatisk i disse 40 årene, men holdt seg stabile på omtrent 15 000 per år. Det betyr at 1 svangeskap per 4 fødsler avbrytes. Men i virkeligm heten er nok aborttallene økt betraktelig hvis vi tar med abort etter bruk av "angrepillen"."

"Gdobalt sett skjer det mellom 40 og 50 millioner provoserte aborter per år. Det betyr at mellom 1 av 4 og 2 av 5 svangerskap som ville gått til fødsel, blir avbrutt."(9)

Regimer med tiltagende ateisme blir uvanlig dyre i drift; også i antall menneskeliv; 'mótoren', være seg i Norge 2015, Stalins USSR ($\underline{16}$) eller Nazi-Tyskland, er et 'vitenskapelig' postulat ($\underline{17}$) som overtar for troen på Skaperen: 'Evolusjon'.

Og tilbake ved Bergmans bók:

Dr. Carl Wieland åpner Foreword med "Amazingly, though we live in an age of overwhelming amounts of information, people still swallow some ridiculous notions about one of history's most famous sets of villains: Hitler and his Nazi hencemen. One of the most bizarre is that somehow Darwinism was not at the front and centre of Nazi ideology. My late mother, who grew up under the National Socialist Worker's Party government, confirmed the all-pervasive influence of evolution on that society's aberrations as a "given.""

Preface, samme bok, Dr. David Herbert: "It is highly significant that the first translation of Charles Darwin's On the Origin og Species occured in Germany. After having read this book, Ernst Haeckel, a recent graduate in medicine from the University of Würzberg, became an ardent disciple of Darwinism. In time, he would not only become Germany's foremost biologist, but also the "Father of German Evolutionism.""

"As a retired history teacher, I particularly enjoyed the chapter titled, "Darwinism and Biology textbooks in Nazi Germany." All textbooks in Nazi Germany had to be approved by the educational authorities and were required to reflect the prevailing worldview and its concomitant values. Their textbooks were Darwinian to the core". Jerry Bergmans Introduction avalutes: "As will be documented in this work, weaning of Americans from Christianity by banning public display of Christian symbols and ritual is remarkably reminiscent of what Nazi Germany did. And Darwinism and its implications were a major impetus for these Nazi goals. As Keith concluded at the end of World War II, "Hitler is an uncompromising evolutionist, and we must seek...an evolutionary explanation if we are to understand his actions" against the Jews, the Christians, the handicapped, and against

what he regarded as the misfits of society. This is the goal of this volume."

Bergmans kapittel "Hitler's academics and doctors" slutter: "The importance of Darwin and his disciples' writings is illustrated by Viktor Frankl, a Jewish doctor who survived the horrors of Auschwitz. Dr. Frankl astutely evaluated the influence of modern scientists and academics in helping to prepare the way for the Nazi atrocities by concluding that the "gas chambers of Auschwitz were the ultimate consequence of the theory that man is nothing but the product of heredity and environment - or as the Nazis liked to say, of "Blood and Soil." I am absolutely convinced that the gas chambers of Auschwitz, Treblinka, and Maidanek were ultimately prepared not in some Ministry ...in berlin, but rather at the desks and in the lecture halls of nihilistic scientists and philosophers.""(18)

"Hannah Arendt beskrev ondskapens banalitet da hun arbeidet som journalist og skrev om rettsaken mot Adolf Eichmann"; Ola Didrik Saugstad avslutter oven siterte "Fosteret – et unikt skaperverk"; "Ondskapensbanalitet, hevdet hun, skjer når ondskapen settes i rutine og grusomme handlinger godtas uten å tenke over det. Det handler om å normalisere det utenkelige. Er dagens abortpraksis en ondskapens banalitet som de fleste av oss observerer med et skråblikk? Har vi normalisert det utenkelige slik at besteborgerne fortsatt uanfektet kan gå hjem fra sitt arbeid, spise middag med sin ektefelle, leke med sine barn og gå tur med sin hund uten å bry seg? Jeg føler meg selv truffet av en slik beskrivelse. Men da er det på tide at vi våkner opp og sier ifra. Ja, ikke bare sier fra, men roper ut. Vårt land og vår kultur må tenke nytt om abortspørsmålet. Noe har gått galt i en verden som avslutter 50 millioner ufødte liv hvert år, nærmest uten at det reageres. For hvis vi tier, skal stenene rope!"

"Hør, din brors blod roper til mig fra jorden." (1. Mosebok 4,10)

REFERANSER OG NOTER

- 1. Forf./tittel som anf.; Joshua Press Inc. 2012; p.133.
- 2. Enc. Brit.
- 3. "... I will keep silence..."; "Det nye, når kjernejournal innføres, er at denne informasjonen blir tilgjengelig nasjonalt". "Kjernejournal inneholder oppdatert informasjon om hvilke legemidler pasienten har fått utlevert på resept i norske apotek, en oversikt over pasientens kontakt med sykehus, avtalespesialister og andre enheter innen spesialisthelsetjenesten, pasientens folkeregistrerte adresse, fastlege og kritisk informasjon." (Arnesen, E.N., Larsen, B.A.; Kritisk informasjon i kjernejournal; Tidsskr. Nor. Legefor. nr. 20, 2014; 134:1927-8.
- 4. Bergman p.138-9.
- 5. İb. p.143.

6. Ib. p.304.

 $\overline{7}$. Endog "Blant fastleger som er medlemmer av Norges Kristelige Legeforening er det få som har reservert seg mot henvisning til svangerskapsavbrytelse." (Roland, M.; Samvittighet og reservasjonsrett; Inter Medicos nr. 1, 2015; s.6-7.)

"Skrive bordsmorder" er et begrep kjent fra annen sammenheng ...

- 8. Vern om livet nr. 1, 2015; s.19.
- 9. Saugstad, O.D.; Fosteret et unikt skaperverk; Inter Medicos nr. 1, 2015; s.3-5.
- 10. Hoffmann, P.; Mørke skjuler jorden; Lohses Forlag 1985; s.15. Gjengitt i Høgetveit, D.J.; Note 55. "And because lawlessness will abound, the love of many will grow cold"; gjestekommentar, kommentar-avisa.no des. 2014.
- 11. Catchpoole, D.; Harwood, M. in Carter, R.(Ed.); EVOLUTION'S ACHILLES' HEELS 9 Ph.D. scientists explain evolution's fatal flaws-in areas claimed to be its greatest strengths; Creation Book Publ. 2014; p.249. (Se Bergman, J.; Darwinism and the forgotten German holocaust; Journal of Creation 25(2):41-44, 2011; anmeldelse av Olusoga, D., Ericksen, C.W.; The Kaiser's Holocaust Germany's forgotten genocide and the colonial roots of nazism; Faber & Faber 2010.)
- 12. Ib. p.245-6.

lutely splendid."

- 13. Ib. p.250.
- 14. Ref. 7.
- 15. Steinsvik, M.; "Frimodige ytringer"; Eget Forlag, 3.oppl. Oslo 1946; s.14.
- 16. Mér Bergman. Woodmorappe, J.; Darwinism has remade Western society - for the worse; anmeldelse av Bergman, J.; The Darwin Effect - Its Influence on Nazism, Eugenics, Racism, Communism, Capitalism, and Sexism; Master Books 2014; Journal of Creation 29(1);42-4,2015: "One striking feature of Bergman's work is the fact that most of the pioneering Communist leaders had been devout believers who got swept away by Darwinism and only then adopted Communism as a substitute religion. Bergman quotes James Pusey, who commented. "Marxism converted intellectuals + but ((only)) intellectuals who were already converted to Darwinism" (p. 278). The rest is history. Let us elaborate on this. Karl Marx, of Jewish etnicity, had been bapticed a Lutheran and had written of his love for Christ. At university, however, he fell for atheism andmaterralism, and only then became a Communist." ("När Marx hade läst Darwins Om Arternas uppkomst skrev han ett brev till Lasalle där han jublar över at Gud - åtmimstone på det naturvetenskapliga området - hade fått "dödsstöten"." (Wurmbrand, R.; Vem var Karl Marx?, s.40) Og John Morris (The Young Earth, p.22): "Parenthetically, I remember one of my graduate students at the University of Oklahoma, who, as a young man growing up in Iran under the Shah's regime, had turned to communism. A leader in the Student Communist Party, he was taken to Moscow for a year's saturation in Communist thought. Do you know what they taught him? Not Marx. Not Lenin. For the whole year, they just filled him with evolution! Evolution is a necessary foundation for Marxism.") Woodmorappe/Bergman igjen: "Friedrich Engels, raised in a pietistic religious family, also fell in love with Darwinism, calling it "abso-

The same trend developed among emerging Russian revolutionaries. Alex-

ander Herzen wrote of Darwinism in glowing terms. Vladimir Ulyanov (Lenin), raised by devout Bible-believing parents, became, in his words, fascinated with the ideas of Charles Darwin. Lev Davidovich Bronstein (Leon Trotsky) was converted from Ortodox Judaism to Communsim through Darwinism. Joseph Dzhugashwili (Joseph Stalin) studied to be a priest before getting swept away by Darwinism and becoming a Communist.

The foregoing path, from religion to Darwinism to Communism, was also trod by revolutionaries outside of the Soviet Union. Mao Tse-tung (Mao Zedong), raised by a religiously devout (Buddhist) mother, became enamoured with Darwinism and then became a revolutionary. In fact, he came to see Darwinism as the foundation of Chinese scientific socialism". "Mass murderer Pol Pot, the architect of the Cambodian genocide, had been inspired by both Mao Zedong and Charles Darwin. So was Vietnamese Communist leader Ho Chi Minh.

The total death toll from Communism assumes staggering proportions, as tabulated by Bergman (pp. 347-348). It amounts to at least several tens of millions of victims."

17. "As stated by Emmett Williams, "The second law of thermodynamics is an empirical law, directly observable in nature and in experimentation. This law implies that the direction of all natural processes is toward states of disorder.... All natural systems degenerate when left to themselves....Huxley states that evolution is an irreversible process which leads to greater variety, to more complex, higher degrees of organization. His assertion contradicts the prediction of the direction of natural processes called for by the second law! Either evolution has occured in spite of the second law, or evolution has not occured at all. There is no question about the correctness and universality of the second law..." "Regarding the Second Law and living organisms, E. Williams writes, "Living organisms also tend to reach a state of maximum entropy, but at a relatively slower rate... There is no valid experimental evidence of a violation of the second law of thermodynamics in either animate ((living)) og inamimate ((non-living)) material. This places the evolutionist in the position of passively or actively denying the observable (second law) to believe the unobservable (macroevolution).""

"The bottom line is that evolution has never been observed or proven by empirical science — it is assúmed to be true. Evolution does not even meet the definition of theory. A theory is an explanation of a set of related observations or events based upon proven hypotheses and verified multiple times by independent groups of researchers. In fact, evolution is not even worthy of the term hypothesis. A hypothesis is an educated guess based upon observation but has not been proved."

"Dr. Hal Lindsey, in his weekly "The Hal Lindsey Report," said, "I'll share a few thoughts on one of the greatest crises we face in the West today. Jesus describedit as having eyes, but not seeing; having ears, but not hearing. ((This is Scripture taken from Luke 8:10-12)) Here's the danger; our scientific, medical, educational, governmental, and moral agendas today are determined by people who are willfully blind - not stupid or naïve - but deliberately blind to the truth. If we sit idle by and let them set the course for the future, we'll get what we, as a nation, deserve. God help us." (Gallop,R.G.; Evolution - The Greatest Deception in Modern History; Red Butte Press; Rev.ed, 2012; p.14-5.4.218.)

Gallop skriver (s.213): "A geneticist ((George Caylor)) once said that the scientific community requires the scientist to maintain two insanities at all times. "One, it would be insane to believe in evo-

lution when you can see the thruth for yourself. Two, it would be insane to say you don't believe in evolution. All government work, research grants, papers, big college lectures - everything would stop. I'd be out of a job, or relegated to the outer fringes where I coutldn't earn a decent living.""

PARALLELT med denne situasjonen pågår en (annen) omskrivning av rea-

litetene:

Andrew Kulikovsky anmelder (Journal of Creatóon 29(1):45-50,2015; (Why the West really is the best)), Rodney Stark's How the West Won - The Neglected Story of the Triumph of Modernity (ISI Books 2014): "Stark begins by noting that courses on Western civilization are now considered suspect and those who offer such courses are viewed as apologists for Western hegemony and oppression. Consequently, most American universities - including top Ivy Leage schools - no longer offer such courses. While this suppression and distortion remains, students "will become increasingly ignorant of how the modern world came to be" (p. 1). Even worse, students are "being badly misled by a flood of absurd, politically correct fabrications..." (p. 1). I suspect these consequences are by design and the intended goal of the censors.

Thus, Stark sets out to expose the many such myths and cases of revisionism in regard to the history of Western civilization, including:
1. Rather than a great tragedy, the fall of Rome was the single most beneficial event in the history of Western civilization.

2. The 'Dark Ages' never happened - this period saw remarkable progress and innovation in science, technology, architecture, art, literature, and music..." (".. respectable encyclopaedias and dictionaries, such as Colombia and Britannica, now define the 'Dark Ages' as a myth.") ""... advances in both science and technology occured not in spite of Christianity but because of it. Contrary to conventional wisdom, science did not suddenly flourish once Europe cast aside religious 'superstitions' during the so-called Enlightenment. Science arose in the West - and only in the West - precisely because the Judeo-Christian conception of God encouraged and even demanded this pursuit" (p. 321).

In fact, the so-called Dark Ages saw radical technological progress largely because the stultifying hand of the Roman Empire was gone." "Stark argues that "The most fundamental key to the rise of Western civilization has been the dedication of so many of its most brilliant minds to the pursuat of knowledge. Not to illumination. Not to enlightenment. Not to wisdom. But to knówledge. And the basis for this commitment to knowledge was the Christian commitment to theology" (p. 159). He adds:

"The pursuit of knowledge was inherent in theology, as efforts to more fully understand God were extended to include God's creation - ...""

18. Bergman p.147-8.